

LX5643 - Patent Law and Practice

View Online



[1]

Adelman, M.J. 2011. Global issues in patent law. West.

[2]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[3]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[4]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press. 603–721.

[5]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press. 603–721.

[6]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press. 603–721.

[7]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press. 603–721.

[8]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[9]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[10]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[11]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[12]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[14]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[15]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[16]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press. 603–721.

[17]

Arthur, C.A. 2014. Should Apple finally call time on smartphone patent wars? Guardian. (May 2014).

[18]

Bainbridge, D.I. 2018. Intellectual property. Pearson.

[19]

BBC Radio 4 - In Business, Gene Patenting: 2013.
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b037vb4d>.

[20]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[21]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[22]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[23]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[24]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[25]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[26]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[27]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[28]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[29]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[30]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[31]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[32]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[34]

Bonadio, E. 2012. Biotech patents and morality after Brussels. European Intellectual Property Review.

[35]

Bowcott, O. 2012. Unified Patent Court split between Paris, London and Munich. Guardian. (Jul. 2012).

[36]

Brennan, D.J. 2009. Biogen sufficiency reconsidered. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2009).

[37]

British and Irish Legal Information Institute: <http://www.bailii.org/>.

[38]

Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys European Patents Sourcefinder. Sweet & Maxwell.

[39]

Christie, A. and Gare, S. eds. 2018. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Cockbain, J. and Sterckx, S. 2011. Is the Enlarged Board of Appeal of the European Patent Office authorised to extend the bounds of the patentable? The G5/83 Second Medical Indication/EISAI and G2/08 Dosage Regime/ABBOTT RESPIRATORY cases. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 42, 3 (2011).

[41]

Cornish, W.R. 2004. *Intellectual property: omnipresent, distracting, irrelevant?*. Oxford University Press.

[42]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[43]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters. 1-51.

[44]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[45]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[46]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[47]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[48]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[49]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[50]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[51]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[52]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[53]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied

rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[54]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[55]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[56]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

[57]

Court of Justice of the European Union: https://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/Jo2_6999.

[58]

Cullet, P. Patents and medicines: the relationship between TRIPS and the human right to health. International Affairs.

[59]

Dinwoodie, G.B. 2006. *The International Intellectual Property Law System: New Actors, New Institutions, New Sources. Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review. (2006).

[60]

Dinwoodie, G.B. and Janis, M.D. 2010. Trade dress and design law. Aspen Publishers.

[61]

Drahos, P. and Braithwaite, J. 2003. Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy?. Earthscan.

[62]

Ealey, D. and Chartered Institute of Patent Agents (London, England) 2014. Study guide to the Patents Acts. CIPA.

[63]

England, P. and Parker, S. 2012. Obviousness in the new European order. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. 7, 11 (Nov. 2012), 805-815.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jps162>.

[64]

EU law - EUROPA | European Union: https://europa.eu/european-union/law_en.

[65]

European Patent Office (EPO): <https://www.epo.org/index.html>.

[66]

European Patents Handbook (CIPA):
[https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1](https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1).

[67]

European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO):
<https://euipo.europa.eu/ohimportal/en/>.

[68]

Evans, G.E. Intellectual Property as a Trade Issue: The Making of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights.

[69]

Fisher, M. 2005. Classical economics and philosophy of the patent system. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2005).

[70]

Fisher, M. 2012. Extracting the price of a patent: enablement and written description. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2012).

[71]

Fisher, M. 2007. *Fundamentals of patent law: interpretation and scope of protection*. Hart Publishing.

[72]

Floyd, C. 2010. Infringement by Repair and the Troublesome Definition of 'Making' the Invention: A critique of *Schütz v Werit*.

[73]

Floyd, C. 1996. Novelty under the Patents Act 1977: the state of the art after *Merrell Dow*. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 18, 9 (1996).

[74]

Fox, N. 2010. *A guide to the EPC 2000: a practitioner's guide to the new law*. Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys.

[75]

Franzosi, M. 2005. Non-Obviousness. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 6, 2 (Nov. 2005), 233–250. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2003.tb00200.x>.

[76]

Geiger, C. 2009. Intellectual property shall be protected! Article 17(2) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union: a mysterious provision with an unclear scope. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 31, 3 (2009).

[77]

Giles, J. 2012. The *Brustle* and *Eli Lilly* cases: Creation--God or humankind? *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*. 1, 2 (Oct. 2012), 518–523. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojlr/rws009>.

[78]

Gillmor, D. 2012. America's dysfunctional patent system is stifling innovation. *Guardian*. (Nov. 2012).

[79]

Gosseries, A. et al. 2008. *Intellectual property and theories of justice*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[80]

Harmon, S.H.E. et al. 2013. Dignity, plurality and patentability: the unfinished story of *Brustle v Greenpeace*. *European Law Review*. 38, 1 (2013).

[81]

Harris, P. 2013. Monsanto sued small farmers to protect seed patents, report says. *Guardian*. (Feb. 2013).

[82]

Helfer, L.R. ed. 2013. *Intellectual property and human rights*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.

[83]

Heller, M.A. and Eisenberg, R.S. 1998. Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research. *Science*. 280, 5364 (1998).

[84]

Hilty, R. et al. 2012. The Unitary Patent Package: Twelve Reasons for Concern. SSRN Electronic Journal. (2012). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2169254>.

[85]

HM Courts & Tribunals Service - GOV.UK:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service>.

[86]

Hobson, A. and Shafran, T. 2009. Kelly and Chiu v GE Healthcare Limited: pharmaceutical companies at risk of successful employee inventor compensation claim following landmark ruling. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 31, 10 (2009).

[87]

Howell, C. 2011. Extra compensation for inventive employees: is our system equitable, unbiased and motivating? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2011).

[88]

Hughes, J. 1988. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. *Georgetown Law Journal*. (1988).

[89]

Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy: 2002.
http://www.cipr.org.uk/graphic/documents/final_report.htm.

[90]

Intellectual Property Institute *Intellectual property quarterly*.

[91]

Intellectual Property Office Examining patent applications for biotechnological inventions - GOV.UK.

[92]

Intellectual Property Office 2016. Examining patent applications for medical inventions - GOV.UK.

[93]

Intellectual Property Office Examining patent applications relating to chemical inventions.

[94]

Intellectual Property Office Patents: Manual of Patent Practice - GOV.UK.

[95]

Jaffe, A.B. and Lerner, J. 2007. Innovation and its discontents: how our broken patent system is endangering innovation and progress, and what to do about it. Princeton University Press.

[96]

Jewess, M. 2013. Inside intellectual property: best practice in intellectual property law, management, and strategy. The Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys.

[97]

Jones, C. and Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys Patent Co-Operation Treaty Handbook . Sweet & Maxwell.

[98]

Keeling, D.T. 2003. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol.1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford University Press.

[99]

Kitch, E.W. 1977. The Nature and Function of the Patent System. *The Journal of Law & Economics*. 20, 2 (1977).

[100]

Kur, A. and Dreier, T. 2013. *European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials*. European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials. Edward Elgar. 39–83.

[101]

Laddie, H. *Kirin Amgen — The End of Equivalents in England?1. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 40, 1.

[102]

Landes, W.M. and Posner, R.A. 2003. *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. Harvard University Press.

[103]

Landes, W.M. and Posner, R.A. 2003. *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. The economic structure of intellectual property law. Harvard University Press.

[104]

Luginbühl, S. and Molengraaff Instituut voor Privaatrecht. Centrum voor Intellectueel Eigendomsrecht 2011. *European patent law: towards a uniform interpretation*. Edward Elgar.

[105]

Machlup, F. and Penrose, E. 1950. The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century. *The Journal of Economic History*. 10, 1 (1950).

[106]

McVeigh, K. 2013. US supreme court rules human genes cannot be patented. Guardian. (Jun. 2013).

[107]

Merges, R.P. 1999. The Law and Economics of Employee Inventions. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology. (1999).

[108]

Merges, R.P. and Nelson, R.R. 1990. On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope. Columbia Law Review. 90, 4 (May 1990). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1122920>.

[109]

Musker, D.C. 2002. Community design law: principles and practice. Sweet & Maxwell.

[110]

Norman, H.E. 2014. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[111]

Ohly, A. and Pila, J. eds. 2013. The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology. Oxford University Press.

[112]

Ohly, A. and Pila, J. eds. 2013. The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology. The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology. Oxford University Press. 199-216.

[113]

Patently Absurd! - Weird & wacky patents: <http://www.patentlyabsurd.org.uk/>.

[114]

Pehlivan, C.N. 2012. The creation of a single European patent system: from dream to (almost) reality. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 34, 7 (2012).

[115]

Pila, J. 2009. Chemical Products and Proportionate Patents Before and After. *King's Law Journal*. 20, 3 (Oct. 2009), 489-526.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09615768.2009.11423685>.

[116]

Pila, J. 2005. Dispute over the meaning of 'invention' in Art.52(2) EPC - the patentability of computer-implemented inventions in Europe. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. 36, 2 (2005).

[117]

Pila, J. 2012. 'Sewing the Fly Buttons on the Statute': Employee Inventions and the Employment Context. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 32, 2 (2012).

[118]

Pila, J. 2013. *THE EUROPEAN PATENT: AN OLD AND VEXING PROBLEM. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 62, 04 (Oct. 2013), 917-940.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589313000304>.

[119]

Pila, J. 2010. *The requirement for invention in patent law*. Oxford University Press.

[120]

Plomer, A. 2011. EU ban on stem cell patents is a threat both to science and the rule of law | Aurora Plomer. *Guardian*. (Dec. 2011).

[121]

Prizes, Not Patents by Joseph E. Stiglitz - Project Syndicate:
<https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/prizes--not-patents?barrier=accesspaylog>.

[122]

Pumfrey, N. The Doctrine of Equivalents in Various Patent Regimes: Does Anybody Have It Right?

[123]

Seville, C. 2016. EU intellectual property law and policy. Edward Elgar Publishing.

[124]

Sharples, A. 2012. Industrial applicability, patents and the Supreme Court: Human Genome Sciences Inc v Eli Lilly and Co. European Intellectual Property Review. 34, 4 (2012).

[125]

Sharples, A. and Curley, D. 2006. Experimental novelty: Synthon v SmithKline Beecham. European Intellectual Property Review. 28, 5 (2006).

[126]

Smedley, T. 2013. Patent wars: has India taken on Big Pharma and won? Guardian. (May 2013).

[127]

Southgate, T. 2013. Can you patent an experience? The Guardian. (Apr. 2013).

[128]

Stone, D. 2016. European Union design law: a practitioners' guide. Oxford University Press.

[129]

Struve, F. W. 2013. Ending Unnecessary Novelty Destruction: Why Europe Should Adopt the Safety-Net Grace Period as an International Best Practice. *William Mitchell Law Review*. 4 (2013).

[130]

Suthersanen, U. 2010. *Design law: European Union and United States of America*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[131]

Terrell, T. 2016. *Terrell on the law of patents*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[132]

The 1709 Blog: <http://the1709blog.blogspot.com/>.

[133]

The IPKat: <http://ipkitten.blogspot.com/>.

[134]

The UK Intellectual Property Office (IPO):
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/intellectual-property-office>.

[135]

Torremans, P. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[136]

Transcript of Lord Justice Kitchin's Speech on the Unitary Patent (2012): 2012.

<http://www.cipa.org.uk/pages/Congres-Dinner-2012-Lord-Justice-Kitchin>.

[137]

Unitary patent – ratification progress: <http://unitarypatent.com/ratification-process/>.

[138]

Visser, D. 15AD. The annotated European patent convention. Wolters Kluwer.

[139]

Waelde, C. et al. 2016. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Oxford University Press.

[140]

Whitehead, B. 2006. Patent construction after Amgen: are patent claims construed more widely or narrowly than previously? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. 1, 5 (Mar. 2006), 332–337. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl019>.

[141]

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO): <http://www.wipo.int/portal/en/index.html>.

[142]

World Trade Organization (WTO): <https://www.wto.org/>.

[143]

2012. Difference Engine: The PC all over again? *The Economist*. (2012).

[144]

Entertainment and Media Law Reports.

[145]

Entertainment Law Review.

[146]

European Copyright and Design Reports.

[147]

European intellectual property review.

[148]

European Patent Office Reports.

[149]

European Trade Mark Reports.

[150]

Fleet Street patent law reports.

[151]

2017. Guidelines for Examination in the European Patent Office. European Patent Office.

[152]

IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law.

[153]

Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy: Report of the Commission on Intellectual Property Rights.

[154]

Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice.

[155]

2015. National law relating to the EPC. European Patent Office.

[156]

'Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee on the 'Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the patentability of computer-implemented inventions' (COM(2002) 92 final — 2002/0047(COD)). Official Journal of the European Union.

[157]

Reports of Patent, Design and Trade Mark Cases.

[158]

2002. The Ethics of Patenting DNA - Nuffield Bioethics. Nuffield Council on Bioethics.

[159]

The journal of world intellectual property.

[160]

2017. The PCT Applicant's Guide. World Intellectual Property Organization.