

LX5643 - Patent Law and Practice

View Online



Adelman, M. J. (2011). *Global issues in patent law*. West.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017a). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017b). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017c). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. In *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition, pp. 603–721). Oxford University Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017d). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. In *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition, pp. 603–721). Oxford University Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017e). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. In *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition, pp. 603–721). Oxford University Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017f). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. In *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition, pp. 603–721). Oxford University Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017g). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017h). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017i). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017j). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017k). *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials* (Third

edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017l). Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017m). Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017n). Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials (Third edition). Oxford University Press.

Aplin, T. F., & Davis, J. (2017o). Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials (Third edition, pp. 603–721). Oxford University Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Arthur, C. A. (2014). Should Apple finally call time on smartphone patent wars? Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2014/may/05/apple-iphone-android-wars-legal-patent-battles>

Bainbridge, D. I. (2018). Intellectual property (Tenth edition). Pearson.

BBC Radio 4 - In Business, Gene Patenting. (2013, August 8).

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b037vb4d>

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018a). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018b). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018c). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018d). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018e). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018f). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018g). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018h). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018i). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018j). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018k). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018l). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018m). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bently, L., Sherman, B., Gangjee, D., & Johnson, P. (2018n). Intellectual property law (Fifth edition). Oxford University Press.

Bonadio, E. (2012). Biotech patents and morality after Brussels. In *European Intellectual Property Review*.
<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/4097/1/Biotech%20patents%20and%20morality%20after%20Brussels.pdf>

Bowcott, O. (2012). Unified Patent Court split between Paris, London and Munich. *Guardian*.
<https://www.theguardian.com/law/2012/jul/03/unified-patent-court-london>

Brennan, D. J. (2009). Biogen sufficiency reconsidered. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I42B534B0CE7911DE91DCE6753676A05A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

British and Irish Legal Information Institute. (n.d.). <http://www.bailii.org/>

Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys. (n.d.). *European Patents Sourcefinder*. Sweet & Maxwell.

Christie, A., & Gare, S. (Eds.). (2018). *Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property* (14th edition). Oxford University Press.

Cockbain, J., & Sterckx, S. (2011). Is the Enlarged Board of Appeal of the European Patent Office authorised to extend the bounds of the patentable? The G5/83 Second Medical Indication/EISAI and G2/08 Dosage Regime/ABBOTT RESPIRATORY cases. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 42(3).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IE5E58D00B69A11E08901C93154A11BF4&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
Commission on Intellectual Property Rights. (2002). *Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy*. http://www.cipr.org.uk/graphic/documents/final_report.htm

Cornish, W. R. (2004). *Intellectual property: omnipresent, distracting, irrelevant?* Oxford University Press.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013a). *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights* (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013b). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. In Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition, pp. 1-51). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c56f2b34-9a28-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013c). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013d). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013e). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013f). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013g). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013h). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013i). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013j). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013k). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013l). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013m). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013n). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Cornish, W. R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T. F. (2013o). Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights (Eighth edition). Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters.

Court of Justice of the European Union. (n.d.). https://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/Jo2_6999
Cullet, P. (n.d.). Patents and medicines: the relationship between TRIPS and the human right to health. In *International Affairs*. <http://www.ielrc.org/content/a0301.pdf>

Difference Engine: The PC all over again? (2012). *The Economist*.
<https://www.economist.com/babbage/2012/09/09/difference-engine-the-pc-all-over-again>
Dinwoodie, G. B. (2006). *The International Intellectual Property Law System: New Actors, New Institutions, New Sources. *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review*.
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=749349

Dinwoodie, G. B., & Janis, M. D. (2010). *Trade dress and design law*. Aspen Publishers.

Drahos, P., & Braithwaite, J. (2003). *Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy?* Earthscan.

Ealey, D. & Chartered Institute of Patent Agents (London, England). (2014). *Study guide to the Patents Acts (2014 ed)*. CIPA.

England, P., & Parker, S. (2012). Obviousness in the new European order. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 7(11), 805–815. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jps162>

Entertainment and Media Law Reports. (n.d.).
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_begins&criteria=Entertainment%20and%20Media%20Law%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

Entertainment Law Review. (n.d.).
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=issn_equals&criteria=0959-3799&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

EU law - EUROPA | European Union. (n.d.). https://europa.eu/european-union/law_en

European Copyright and Design Reports. (n.d.).
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=European%20Copyright%20and%20Design%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

European intellectual property review. (n.d.).
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=European%20intellectual%20property%20review&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

European Patent Office (EPO). (n.d.). <https://www.epo.org/index.html>

European Patent Office Reports. (n.d.).
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=issn_equals&criteria=0269-0802&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

European Patents Handbook (CIPA). (n.d.).

[https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1](https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1)

European Trade Mark Reports. (n.d.).

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_begins&criteria=European%20Trade%20Mark%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO). (n.d.).

<https://euipo.europa.eu/ohimportal/en/>

Evans, G. E. (n.d.). Intellectual Property as a Trade Issue: The Making of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights.

<https://www.kluwerlawonline.com/document.php?id=WOCO1994013&PHPSESSID=fbv506fb18nbgip10pr4a9mpl0>

Fisher, M. (2005). Classical economics and philosophy of the patent system. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBD9B2790E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Fisher, M. (2007). *Fundamentals of patent law: interpretation and scope of protection*. Hart Publishing.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Brunel&isbn=9781847313812>

Fisher, M. (2012). Extracting the price of a patent: enablement and written description. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docguid=I9AF8DA802C4211E29A4AF883571617EC&crumb-action=append&context=25>

Fleet Street patent law reports. (n.d.).

Floyd, C. (1996). Novelty under the Patents Act 1977: the state of the art after Merrell Dow. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 18(9).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D8B5500E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Floyd, C. (2010). Infringement by Repair and the Troublesome Definition of 'Making' the Invention: A critique of *Schütz v Werit*.

http://fordhamipconference.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/Floyd_InfringementByRepair.pdf

Fox, N. (2010). *A guide to the EPC 2000: a practitioner's guide to the new law* (4th ed). Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys.

Franzosi, M. (2005). Non-Obviousness. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 6(2),

233-250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2003.tb00200.x>

Geiger, C. (2009). Intellectual property shall be protected!? Article 17(2) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union: a mysterious provision with an unclear scope. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 31(3).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IDE325F70E45111DD8BEBE47BB36F59BF&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
Giles, J. (2012). The *Brustle* and *Eli Lilly* cases: Creation--God or humankind? *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*, 1(2), 518-523. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojlr/rws009>

Gillmor, D. (2012). America's dysfunctional patent system is stifling innovation. *Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/nov/30/america-dysfunctional-patent-system-innovation>

Gosseries, A., Marciano, A., & Strowel, A. (2008). *Intellectual property and theories of justice*. Palgrave Macmillan.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=219811&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Guidelines for Examination in the European Patent Office. (2017). European Patent Office. <https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/guidelines.html>

Harmon, S. H. E., Laurie, G., & Courtney, A. (2013). Dignity, plurality and patentability: the unfinished story of *Brustle v Greenpeace*. *European Law Review*, 38(1).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I446810B274CB11E2BD04BE991BF76CB2&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Harris, P. (2013). Monsanto sued small farmers to protect seed patents, report says. *Guardian*.
<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2013/feb/12/monsanto-sues-farmers-seed-patents>

Helfer, L. R. (Ed.). (2013). *Intellectual property and human rights* (Vol. 7). Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.

Heller, M. A., & Eisenberg, R. S. (1998). Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research. *Science*, 280(5364).
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2895332?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Hilty, R., Jaeger, T., Lamping, M., & Ullrich, H. (2012). The Unitary Patent Package: Twelve Reasons for Concern. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2169254>

HM Courts & Tribunals Service - GOV.UK. (n.d.).
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service>

Hobson, A., & Shafran, T. (2009). *Kelly and Chiu v GE Healthcare Limited*: pharmaceutical companies at risk of successful employee inventor compensation claim following landmark ruling. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 31(10).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1C7E77A099C911DE8BBDB40346639712&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Howell, C. (2011). Extra compensation for inventive employees: is our system equitable, unbiased and motivating? *Intellectual Property Quarterly*.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I37DBCE500DFB11E1B928A0CFA159DA04&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Hughes, J. (1988). *The Philosophy of Intellectual Property*. *Georgetown Law Journal*.
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/glj77&i=309&a=Ynj1bmVsLmVkdQ>

IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. (n.d.).
<https://link.springer.com/journal/40319>

Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy: Report of the Commission on Intellectual Property Rights. (n.d.).
http://www.iprcommission.org/papers/pdfs/final_report/ciprfullfinal.pdf

Intellectual Property Institute. (n.d.). *Intellectual property quarterly*.
http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Intellectual%20property%20quarterly&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

Intellectual Property Office. (n.d.-a). Examining patent applications for biotechnological inventions - GOV.UK.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-for-biotechnological-inventions>

Intellectual Property Office. (n.d.-b). Examining patent applications relating to chemical inventions.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-relating-to-chemical-inventions>

Intellectual Property Office. (n.d.-c). Patents: Manual of Patent Practice - GOV.UK.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/patents-manual-of-patent-practice>

Intellectual Property Office. (2016). Examining patent applications for medical inventions - GOV.UK.
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-for-medical-inventions>

Jaffe, A. B., & Lerner, J. (2007). *Innovation and its discontents: how our broken patent system is endangering innovation and progress, and what to do about it* ([New ed.]). Princeton University Press.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=313338&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Jewess, M. (2013). *Inside intellectual property: best practice in intellectual property law, management, and strategy*. The Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys.

Jones, C. & Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys. (n.d.). *Patent Co-Operation Treaty Handbook*. Sweet & Maxwell.

Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice. (n.d.).

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Journal%20of%20Intellectual%20Property%20Law%20and%20Practice&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

Keeling, D. T. (2003). Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol.1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford University Press.

Kitch, E. W. (1977). The Nature and Function of the Patent System. *The Journal of Law & Economics*, 20(2).

https://www.jstor.org/stable/725193?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Kitchin, L. J. (2012). Transcript of Lord Justice Kitchin's Speech on the Unitary Patent (2012). <http://www.cipa.org.uk/pages/Congres-Dinner-2012-Lord-Justice-Kitchin>

Kur, A., & Dreier, T. (2013). European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials. In *European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials* (pp. 39–83). Edward Elgar.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fe07e640-4f29-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

Laddie, H. (n.d.). *Kirin Amgen — The End of Equivalents in England?1. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 40(1).

<https://login.ezproxy.brunel.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=57VC-N3D1-DXM0-0292&csi=402200&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

Landes, W. M., & Posner, R. A. (2003a). *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. Harvard University Press.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=282048&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

Landes, W. M., & Posner, R. A. (2003b). *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. In *The economic structure of intellectual property law*. Harvard University Press.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=282048&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

Luginbühl, S. & Molengraaff Instituut voor Privaatrecht. Centrum voor Intellectueel Eigendomsrecht. (2011). *European patent law: towards a uniform interpretation*. Edward Elgar.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=302423&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Machlup, F., & Penrose, E. (1950). The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century. *The Journal of Economic History*, 10(1).

https://www.jstor.org/stable/2113999?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

McVeigh, K. (2013). US supreme court rules human genes cannot be patented. *Guardian*.

<https://www.theguardian.com/law/2013/jun/13/supreme-court-genes-patent-dna>

Merges, R. P. (1999). *The Law and Economics of Employee Inventions*. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology.

- <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/hjlt13&i=7&a=YnJ1bmVsLmVkdQ>
Merges, R. P., & Nelson, R. R. (1990). On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope. *Columbia Law Review*, 90(4). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1122920>
- Musker, D. C. (2002). *Community design law: principles and practice*. Sweet & Maxwell.
- National law relating to the EPC (17th ed.). (2015). European Patent Office. <https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/national-law.html>
- Norman, H. E. (2014). *Intellectual property law* (2nd edition). Oxford University Press.
- Ohly, A., & Pila, J. (Eds.). (2013a). *The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology*. Oxford University Press.
- Ohly, A., & Pila, J. (Eds.). (2013b). *The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology*. In *The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology* (pp. 199–216). Oxford University Press. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=74535763-8228-e911-80cd-005056af4099>
- 'Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee on the 'Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the patentability of computer-implemented inventions' (COM(2002) 92 final — 2002/0047(COD)). (n.d.). In *Official Journal of the European Union*. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2003:061:0154:0163:EN:PDF>
- Patently Absurd! - Weird & wacky patents. (n.d.). <http://www.patentlyabsurd.org.uk/>
- Pehlivan, C. N. (2012). The creation of a single European patent system: from dream to (almost) reality. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 34(7). <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I527E01A0B9BE11E1AAED857176AF78A8&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
- Pila, J. (2005). Dispute over the meaning of 'invention' in Art.52(2) EPC - the patentability of computer-implemented inventions in Europe. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 36(2). <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IF3AE89208C7F11DDA308CE7DDE22A835&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
- Pila, J. (2009). Chemical Products and Proportionate Patents Before and After. *King's Law Journal*, 20(3), 489–526. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09615768.2009.11423685>
- Pila, J. (2010). *The requirement for invention in patent law*. Oxford University Press.
- Pila, J. (2012). 'Sewing the Fly Buttons on the Statute': Employee Inventions and the Employment Context. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 32(2). https://www.jstor.org/stable/41682779?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents
- Pila, J. (2013). *THE EUROPEAN PATENT: AN OLD AND VEXING PROBLEM. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 62(04), 917–940. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589313000304>

Plomer, A. (2011). EU ban on stem cell patents is a threat both to science and the rule of law | Aurora Plomer. Guardian.

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2011/dec/12/eu-ban-stem-cell-patents>

Prizes, Not Patents by Joseph E. Stiglitz - Project Syndicate. (n.d.).

<https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/prizes--not-patents?barrier=accesspaylog>

Pumfrey, N. (n.d.). The Doctrine of Equivalents in Various Patent Regimes: Does Anybody Have It Right? https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2224188

Reports of Patent, Design and Trade Mark Cases. (n.d.).

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Reports%20of%20Patent,%20Design%20and%20Trade%20Mark%20Cases&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

Seville, C. (2016). EU intellectual property law and policy (Second edition). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/brunelu/detail.action?docID=4731305>

Sharples, A. (2012). Industrial applicability, patents and the Supreme Court: Human Genome Sciences Inc v Eli Lilly and Co. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 34(4).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I09DDC441647711E1AAB4EE4A0ACB9543&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Sharples, A., & Curley, D. (2006). Experimental novelty: *Synthon v SmithKline Beecham*. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 28(5).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D89A751E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

Smedley, T. (2013). Patent wars: has India taken on Big Pharma and won? *Guardian*.

<https://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/patent-wars-india-takes-on-big-pharma>

Southgate, T. (2013). Can you patent an experience? *The Guardian*.

<https://web.archive.org/web/20140831204301/http://www.theguardian.com/media-network/partner-zone-brand-union/patent-brand-experience>

Stone, D. (2016). *European Union design law: a practitioners' guide* (Second edition). Oxford University Press.

Struve, F. W. (2013). Ending Unnecessary Novelty Destruction: Why Europe Should Adopt the Safety-Net Grace Period as an International Best Practice. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 4.

<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/wmitch39&i=1424&a=Ynj1bmVslmVkdQ>

Suthersanen, U. (2010). *Design law: European Union and United States of America* (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Terrell, T. (2016). *Terrell on the law of patents* (C. Birss & T. Austen, Eds.; Eighteenth

edition). Sweet & Maxwell.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/tocectory?crumb-action=reset&stnew=true&crumb-label=Books&sttype=stdtemplate&ao=o.l361019C020F511E68DCBBC525B125694&ndd=2&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

The 1709 Blog. (n.d.). <http://the1709blog.blogspot.com/>

The Ethics of Patenting DNA - Nuffield Bioethics. (2002). Nuffield Council on Bioethics. <http://nuffieldbioethics.org/project/patenting-dna>

The IPKat. (n.d.). <http://ipkitten.blogspot.com/>

The journal of world intellectual property. (n.d.).

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=journal%20of%20world%20intellectual%20property&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

The PCT Applicant's Guide. (2017). World Intellectual Property Organization. <http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/appguide/>

The UK Intellectual Property Office (IPO). (n.d.).

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/intellectual-property-office>

Torremans, P. (2016). Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law (Eighth edition). Oxford University Press.

Unitary patent – ratification progress. (n.d.). <http://unitarypatent.com/ratification-process/>

Visser, D. (15 C.E.). The annotated European patent convention (Twenty-fifth revised edition). Wolters Kluwer.

Waelde, C., Brown, A. E. L., Kheria, S., & Cornwell, J. (2016). Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.

Whitehead, B. (2006). Patent construction after Amgen: are patent claims construed more widely or narrowly than previously? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 1(5), 332–337. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpl019>

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (n.d.).

<http://www.wipo.int/portal/en/index.html>

World Trade Organization (WTO). (n.d.). <https://www.wto.org/>