

LX5643 - Patent Law and Practice

View Online



-
1.
Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

 2.
Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

 3.
Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

 4.
Bainbridge DI. Intellectual property. Tenth edition. Harlow, England: Pearson; 2018.

 5.
Torremans P. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Eighth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

 6.
Waelde C, Brown AEL, Kheria S, Cornwell J. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Fourth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

7.

Christie A, Gare S, editors. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property. 14th edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

8.

European Patents Handbook (CIPA) [Internet]. Available from: [https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1](https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/Browse/Home/Books/CIPAEuropeanPatents?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true&comp=pluk&bhcp=1)

9.

Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys. European Patents Sourcefinder. Sweet & Maxwell;

10.

Jones C, Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys. Patent Co-Operation Treaty Handbook. Sweet & Maxwell;

11.

Fox N. A guide to the EPC 2000: a practitioner's guide to the new law. 4th ed. London: Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys; 2010.

12.

Jewess M. Inside intellectual property: best practice in intellectual property law, management, and strategy. London: The Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys; 2013.

13.

Ealey D, Chartered Institute of Patent Agents (London, England). Study guide to the Patents Acts. 2014 ed. London: CIPA; 2014.

14.

Visser D. The annotated European patent convention. Twenty-fifth revised edition. Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands: Wolters Kluwer; 15AD.

15.

Guidelines for Examination in the European Patent Office [Internet]. Munich: European Patent Office; 2017. Available from: <https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/guidelines.html>

16.

National law relating to the EPC [Internet]. 17th ed. Munich: European Patent Office; 2015. Available from: <https://www.epo.org/law-practice/legal-texts/national-law.html>

17.

Intellectual Property Office. Patents: Manual of Patent Practice - GOV.UK [Internet]. Cardiff; Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/patents-manual-of-patent-practice>

18.

Intellectual Property Office. Examining patent applications for biotechnological inventions - GOV.UK [Internet]. Cardiff; Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-for-biotechnological-inventions>

19.

Intellectual Property Office. Examining patent applications for medical inventions - GOV.UK [Internet]. Cardiff; 2016. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-for-medical-inventions>

20.

Intellectual Property Office. Examining patent applications relating to chemical inventions [Internet]. Cardiff; Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-relating-to-chemical-inventions>

21.

The PCT Applicant's Guide [Internet]. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization; 2017. Available from: <http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/appguide/>

22.

Adelman MJ. Global issues in patent law. St. Paul, MN: West; 2011.

23.

Cornish WR. Intellectual property: omnipresent, distracting, irrelevant? Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004.

24.

Dinwoodie GB, Janis MD. Trade dress and design law. New York: Aspen Publishers; 2010.

25.

Drahos P, Braithwaite J. Information feudalism: who owns the knowledge economy? London: Earthscan; 2003.

26.

Fisher M. Fundamentals of patent law: interpretation and scope of protection [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2007. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=Brunel&isbn=9781847313812>

27.

Gosseries A, Marciano A, Strowel A. Intellectual property and theories of justice [Internet]. Basingstoke [England]: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=219811&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

28.

Helfer LR, editor. Intellectual property and human rights. Vol. 7. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2013.

29.

Jaffe AB, Lerner J. Innovation and its discontents: how our broken patent system is endangering innovation and progress, and what to do about it [Internet]. [New ed.]. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press; 2007. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=313338&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

30.

Landes WM, Posner RA. The economic structure of intellectual property law [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2003. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=282048&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

31.

Luginbühl S, Molengraaff Instituut voor Privaatrecht. Centrum voor Intellectueel Eigendomsrecht. European patent law: towards a uniform interpretation [Internet]. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar; 2011. Available from: <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=302423&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

32.

Terrell T. Terrell on the law of patents [Internet]. Eighteenth edition. Birss C, Austen T, editors. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2016. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/toectomy?crumb-action=reset&stnew=true&crumb-label=Books&sttype=stdtemplate&ao=o.l361019C020F511E68DCBBC525B125694&ndd=2&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

33.

Musker DC. Community design law: principles and practice. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2002.

34.

Norman HE. Intellectual property law. 2nd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

35.

Ohly A, Pila J, editors. The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.

36.

Pila J. The requirement for invention in patent law. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

37.

Seville C. EU intellectual property law and policy [Internet]. Second edition. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing; 2016. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/brunelu/detail.action?docID=4731305>

38.

Stone D. European Union design law: a practitioners' guide. Second edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2016.

39.

Suthersanen U. Design law: European Union and United States of America. 2nd ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

40.

European Copyright and Design Reports. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=European%20Copyright%20and%20Design%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

41.

European Trade Mark Reports. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_begins&criteria=European%20Trade%20Mark%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

42.

Entertainment and Media Law Reports. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_begins&criteria=Entertainment%20and%20Media%20Law%20Reports&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

43.

European Patent Office Reports. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=issn_equals&criteria=0269-0802&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

44.

Fleet Street patent law reports.

45.

Reports of Patent, Design and Trade Mark Cases. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Reports%20of%20Patent,%20Design%20and%20Trade%20Mark%20Cases&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

46.

European intellectual property review. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=European%20intellectual%20property%20review&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

47.

Intellectual Property Institute. Intellectual property quarterly. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Intellectual%20property%20quarterly&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

48.

Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=Journal%20of%20Intellectual%20Property%20Law%20and%20Practice&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

49.

The journal of world intellectual property. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=alternate_title_equals&criteria=journal%20of%20world%20intellectual%20property&titleType=JOURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

50.

IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. Available from:

<https://link.springer.com/journal/40319>

51.

Entertainment Law Review. Available from:

http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/ejp/?libHash=CM7LY9CU9W#/search/?searchControl=title&searchType=issn_equals&criteria=0959-3799&titleType=J

OURNALS&filterBy=All&beginPage=0&language=en-gb

52.

The UK Intellectual Property Office (IPO) [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/intellectual-property-office>

53.

European Patent Office (EPO) [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.epo.org/index.html>

54.

European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) [Internet]. Available from:
<https://euipo.europa.eu/ohimportal/en/>

55.

HM Courts & Tribunals Service - GOV.UK [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service>

56.

British and Irish Legal Information Institute [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/>

57.

EU law - EUROPA | European Union [Internet]. Available from:
https://europa.eu/european-union/law_en

58.

Court of Justice of the European Union [Internet]. Available from:
https://curia.europa.eu/jcms/jcms/Jo2_6999

59.

World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.wipo.int/portal/en/index.html>

60.

World Trade Organization (WTO) [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.wto.org/>

61.

The IPKat [Internet]. Available from: <http://ipkitten.blogspot.com/>

62.

The 1709 Blog [Internet]. Available from: <http://the1709blog.blogspot.com/>

63.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

64.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

65.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 603–721. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

66.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks

and allied rights. In: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights [Internet]. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013. p. 1–51. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c56f2b34-9a28-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

67.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

68.

Fisher M. Classical economics and philosophy of the patent system. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2005; Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IBD9B2790E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

69.

Geiger C. Intellectual property shall be protected!? Article 17(2) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union: a mysterious provision with an unclear scope. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2009;31(3). Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IDE325F70E45111DD8BEBE47BB36F59BF&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

70.

Hughes, J. The Philosophy of Intellectual Property. Georgetown Law Journal [Internet]. 1988; Available from:
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/glj77&i=309&a=Ynj1bmVsLmVkdQ>

71.

Kitch EW. The Nature and Function of the Patent System. The Journal of Law & Economics [Internet]. 1977;20(2). Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/725193?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

72.

Landes WM, Posner RA. The economic structure of intellectual property law. In: The economic structure of intellectual property law [Internet]. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press; 2003. Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=282048&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

73.

Machlup F, Penrose E. The Patent Controversy in the Nineteenth Century. The Journal of Economic History [Internet]. 1950;10(1). Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2113999?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

74.

Merges RP, Nelson RR. On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope. Columbia Law Review. 1990 May;90(4).

75.

Prizes, Not Patents by Joseph E. Stiglitz - Project Syndicate [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/prizes--not-patents?barrier=accesspaylog>

76.

Commission on Intellectual Property Rights. Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy [Internet]. 2002. Available from:
http://www.cipr.org.uk/graphic/documents/final_report.htm

77.

Arthur CA. Should Apple finally call time on smartphone patent wars? Guardian [Internet]. 2014 May 5; Available from:
<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2014/may/05/apple-iphone-android-wars-legal-patent-battles>

78.

Gillmor D. America's dysfunctional patent system is stifling innovation. Guardian [Internet]. 2012 Nov 30; Available from:

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/nov/30/america-dysfunctional-patent-system-innovation>

79.

Difference Engine: The PC all over again? The Economist [Internet]. 2012; Available from: <https://www.economist.com/babbage/2012/09/09/difference-engine-the-pc-all-over-again>

80.

Bowcott O. Unified Patent Court split between Paris, London and Munich. Guardian [Internet]. 2012 Jul 3; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/law/2012/jul/03/unified-patent-court-london>

81.

Plomer A. EU ban on stem cell patents is a threat both to science and the rule of law | Aurora Plomer. Guardian [Internet]. 2011 Dec 12; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2011/dec/12/eu-ban-stem-cell-patents>

82.

McVeigh K. US supreme court rules human genes cannot be patented. Guardian [Internet]. 2013 Jun 13; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/law/2013/jun/13/supreme-court-genes-patent-dna>

83.

BBC Radio 4 - In Business, Gene Patenting [Internet]. 2013. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b037vb4d>

84.

Southgate T. Can you patent an experience? The Guardian [Internet]. 2013 Apr 17; Available from: <https://web.archive.org/web/20140831204301/http://www.theguardian.com/media-network/partner-zone-brand-union/patent-brand-experience>

85.

Smedley T. Patent wars: has India taken on Big Pharma and won? Guardian [Internet]. 2013 May 14; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/patent-wars-india-takes-on-big-pharma>

86.

Harris P. Monsanto sued small famers to protect seed patents, report says. Guardian [Internet]. 2013 Feb 12; Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2013/feb/12/monsanto-sues-farmers-seed-patents>

87.

Patently Absurd! - Weird & wacky patents [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.patentlyabsurd.org.uk/>

88.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

89.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 603–721. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

90.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

91.

Integrating Intellectual Property Rights and Development Policy: Report of the Commission

on Intellectual Property Rights [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.iprcommission.org/papers/pdfs/final_report/ciprfullfinal.pdf

92.

Cullet P. Patents and medicines: the relationship between TRIPS and the human right to health [Internet]. International Affairs. Available from:
<http://www.ielrc.org/content/a0301.pdf>

93.

Dinwoodie GB. *The International Intellectual Property Law System: New Actors, New Institutions, New Sources. Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review [Internet]. 2006; Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=749349

94.

Evans GE. Intellectual Property as a Trade Issue: The Making of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights. Available from:
<https://www.kluwerlawonline.com/document.php?id=WOCO1994013&PHPSESSID=fbv506fb18nbgip10pr4a9mpl0>

95.

Keeling DT. Intellectual property rights in EU law: Vol.1: Free movement and competition law. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.

96.

Kur A, Dreier T. European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials. In: European intellectual property law: texts, cases and materials [Internet]. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar; 2013. p. 39-83. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=fe07e640-4f29-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

97.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

98.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 603–721. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

99.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

100.

Struve, F. W. Ending Unnecessary Novelty Destruction: Why Europe Should Adopt the Safety-Net Grace Period as an International Best Practice. William Mitchell Law Review [Internet]. 2013;(4). Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/wmitch39&i=1424&a=YnJ1bmVsLmVkdQ>

101.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

102.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 603–721. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

103.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

104.

Bonadio E. Biotech patents and morality after Brussels [Internet]. European Intellectual Property Review. 2012. Available from:
<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/4097/1/Biotech%20patents%20and%20morality%20after%20Brussels.pdf>

105.

'Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee on the 'Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the patentability of computer-implemented inventions' (COM(2002) 92 final — 2002/0047(COD)) [Internet]. Official Journal of the European Union. Available from:
<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:C:2003:061:0154:0163:EN:PDF>

106.

The Ethics of Patenting DNA - Nuffield Bioethics [Internet]. Nuffield Council on Bioethics; 2002. Available from: <http://nuffieldbioethics.org/project/patenting-dna>

107.

Harmon SHE, Laurie G, Courtney A. Dignity, plurality and patentability: the unfinished story of *Brustle v Greenpeace*. *European Law Review* [Internet]. 2013;38(1). Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I446810B274CB11E2BD04BE991BF76CB2&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

108.

Heller MA, Eisenberg RS. Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research. *Science* [Internet]. 1998;280(5364). Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/2895332?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

109.

Pila J. Dispute over the meaning of 'invention' in Art.52(2) EPC - the patentability of computer-implemented inventions in Europe. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* [Internet]. 2005;36(2). Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IF3AE89208C7F11DDA308CE7DDE22A835&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

110.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

111.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

112.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

113.

Cockbain J, Sterckx S. Is the Enlarged Board of Appeal of the European Patent Office authorised to extend the bounds of the patentable? The G5/83 Second Medical Indication/EISAI and G2/08 Dosage Regime/ABBOTT RESPIRATORY cases. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law [Internet]. 2011;42(3). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IE5E58D00B69A11E08901C93154A11BF4&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

114.

Floyd C. Novelty under the Patents Act 1977: the state of the art after Merrell Dow. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 1996;18(9). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D8B5500E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

115.

Sharples A, Curley D. Experimental novelty: Synthon v SmithKline Beecham. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2006;28(5). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7D89A751E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

116.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

117.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

118.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

119.

England P, Parker S. Obviousness in the new European order. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* [Internet]. 2012 Nov 1;7(11):805–15. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jiplp/article/7/11/805/931030>

120.

Franzosi M. Non-Obviousness. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property* [Internet]. 2005 Nov 1;6(2):233–50. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2003.tb00200.x>

121.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

122.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

123.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

124.

Giles J. The Brustle and Eli Lilly cases: Creation--God or humankind? Oxford Journal of Law and Religion [Internet]. 2012 Oct 1;1(2):518–23. Available from: <http://oro.open.ac.uk/56700/2/56700.pdf>

125.

Sharples A. Industrial applicability, patents and the Supreme Court: Human Genome Sciences Inc v Eli Lilly and Co. European Intellectual Property Review [Internet]. 2012;34(4). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I09DC441647711E1AAB4EE4A0ACB9543&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

126.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

127.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

128.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

129.

Brennan DJ. Biogen sufficiency reconsidered. Intellectual Property Quarterly [Internet]. 2009; Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I42B534B0CE7911DE91DCE6753676A05A&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

130.

Fisher M. Extracting the price of a patent: enablement and written description. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 2012; Available from: <https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce∓docguid=I9AF8DA802C4211E29A4AF883571617EC&crumb-action=append&context=25>

131.

Pila J. Chemical Products and Proportionate Patents Before and After. *King's Law Journal* [Internet]. 2009 Oct;20(3):489-526. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09615768.2009.11423685>

132.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. *Intellectual property law*. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

133.

Aplin TF, Davis J. *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

134.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

135.

Laddie H. *Kirin Amgen — The End of Equivalents in England?1. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law* [Internet]. 40(1). Available from: <https://login.ezproxy.brunel.ac.uk/login?url=https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?lni=57VC-N3D1-DXM0-0292&csi=402200&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

136.

Pumfrey N. The Doctrine of Equivalents in Various Patent Regimes: Does Anybody Have It Right? Available from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2224188

137.

Whitehead B. Patent construction after Amgen: are patent claims construed more widely or narrowly than previously? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice* [Internet]. 2006 Mar 2;1(5):332–7. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/jiplp/article-abstract/1/5/332/800035?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

138.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. *Intellectual property law*. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

139.

Aplin TF, Davis J. *Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials*. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

140.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. *Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights*. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

141.

Floyd C. Infringement by Repair and the Troublesome Definition of 'Making' the Invention: A critique of *Schütz v Werit* [Internet]. 2010. Available from: http://fordhamipconference.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/Floyd_InfringementByRepair.pdf

142.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. *Intellectual property law*. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

143.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

144.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

145.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

146.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017.

147.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

148.

Hobson A, Shafran T. Kelly and Chiu v GE Healthcare Limited: pharmaceutical companies at risk of successful employee inventor compensation claim following landmark ruling. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2009;31(10). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I1C7E77A099C911DE8BBDB40346639712&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

149.

Howell C. Extra compensation for inventive employees: is our system equitable, unbiased and motivating? *Intellectual Property Quarterly* [Internet]. 2011; Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I37DBCE500DFB11E1B928A0CFA159DA04&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

150.

Merges RP. The Law and Economics of Employee Inventions. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology [Internet]. 1999; Available from:
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/hjlt13&i=7&a=YnJ1bmVsLmVkdQ>

151.

Pila J. 'Sewing the Fly Buttons on the Statute': Employee Inventions and the Employment Context. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. 2012;32(2). Available from:
https://www.jstor.org/stable/41682779?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

152.

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, Johnson P. Intellectual property law. Fifth edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2018.

153.

Aplin TF, Davis J. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. In: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials [Internet]. Third edition. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 603–721. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=b82d2c83-8c2b-e911-80cd-005056af4099>

154.

Cornish WR, Llewelyn D, Aplin TF. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights. Eighth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell, Thomson Reuters; 2013.

155.

Unitary patent – ratification progress [Internet]. Available from:
<http://unitarypatent.com/ratification-process/>

156.

Pehlivan CN. The creation of a single European patent system: from dream to (almost) reality. *European Intellectual Property Review* [Internet]. 2012;34(7). Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I527E01A0B9BE11E1AAED857176AF78A8&entityID=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

157.

Pila J. *THE EUROPEAN PATENT: AN OLD AND VEXING PROBLEM. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* [Internet]. 2013 Oct;62(04):917-40. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-and-comparative-law-quarterly/article/european-patent-an-old-and-vexing-problem/E716460F268D7382391120CA66475DCC>

158.

Hilty R, Jaeger T, Lamping M, Ullrich H. The Unitary Patent Package: Twelve Reasons for Concern. *SSRN Electronic Journal* [Internet]. 2012; Available from: https://www.ip.mpg.de/fileadmin/ipmpg/content/stellungnahmen/mpi-ip_twelve-reasons_2012-10-17_01.pdf

159.

Kitchin LJ. Transcript of Lord Justice Kitchin's Speech on the Unitary Patent (2012) [Internet]. 2012. Available from: <http://www.cipa.org.uk/pages/Congres-Dinner-2012-Lord-Justice-Kitchin>

160.

Ohly A, Pila J, editors. The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology. In: *The Europeanization of intellectual property law: towards a European legal methodology* [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013. p. 199-216. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=74535763-8228-e911-80cd-005056af4099>