

# SO2603 Culture Technology & The Media

View Online



---

[1]

Agar, J. 2013. Constant touch: a global history of the mobile phone. Icon.

[2]

Allan, S. 2002. Media, risk, and science. Open University Press.

[3]

Allan, S. 2002. Media, risk, and science. Open University Press.

[4]

Anderson, A. 2005. The Framing of Nanotechnologies in the British Newspaper Press. *Science Communication*. 27, 2 (Dec. 2005), 200-220.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547005281472>.

[5]

Attias, B. et al. 2013. DJ culture in the mix: power, technology, and social change in electronic dance music. Bloomsbury Academic.

[6]

Attwood, F. et al. eds 2013. Controversial images: media representations on the edge. Palgrave Macmillan.

[7]

BALSAMO, A. 1995. Forms of Technological Embodiment: Reading the Body in Contemporary Culture. *Body & Society*. 1, 3-4 (Nov. 1995), 215-237.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X95001003013>.

[8]

Bauer, M.W. 2005. Public Perceptions and Mass Media in the Biotechnology Controversy. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 17, 1 (Mar. 2005), 5-22.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edh054>.

[9]

Bell, A.R. 2011. Science as "Horrible": Irreverent Deference in Science Communication. *Science as Culture*. 20, 4 (Dec. 2011), 491-512.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09505431.2011.605921>.

[10]

Bell, D. 2006. *Science, technology and culture*. Open University Press.

[11]

Berger, A.A. 2014. *What objects mean: an introduction to material culture*. Left Coast Press.

[12]

Biagioli, M. 1999. *The science studies reader*. Routledge.

[13]

Bijker, W.E. et al. 2012. *The social construction of technological systems: new directions in the sociology and history of technology*. MIT Press.

[14]

Bolter, J.D. 1993. Turing's man: western culture in the computer age. Penguin.

[15]

Bourdieu, P. 1990. Photography: a middle-brow art. Polity.

[16]

Bowler, P.J. and Morus, I.R. 2005. Making modern science: a historical survey. University of Chicago Press.

[17]

Camic, C. et al. 2011. Social knowledge in the making. University of Chicago Press.

[18]

Clarke, G. 1997. The photograph. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Collins, H.M. and Pinch, T.J. 2002. The Golem at large: what you should know about technology. Cambridge University Press.

[20]

David, M. 2005. Science in society. Palgrave Macmillan.

[21]

Devine-Wright, P. 2005. Beyond NIMBYism: towards an integrated framework for understanding public perceptions of wind energy. *Wind Energy*. 8, 2 (Apr. 2005), 125–139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/we.124>.

[22]

van Dijck, J. 2008. Digital photography: communication, identity, memory. *Visual Communication*. 7, 1 (Feb. 2008), 57–76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357207084865>.

[23]

Epstein, S. 2008. Culture and science / technology: rethinking knowledge, power, materiality, and nature. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 619, (2008), 165–182.

[24]

Erickson, M. 2005. *Science, culture and society: understanding science in the twenty-first century*. Polity.

[25]

Garcia-Montes, J.M. 2006. Changes in the self resulting from the use of mobile phones. *Media, Culture & Society*. 28, 1 (Jan. 2006), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443706059287>.

[26]

Gregory, J. and Miller, S. 2000. *Science in public: communication, culture, and credibility*. Persius Books.

[27]

Hackett, E.J. and Society for Social Studies of Science 2008. *The handbook of science and technology studies*. MIT Press.

[28]

Hallman, B.C. and Benbow, S.M.P. 2007. Family leisure, family photography and zoos: exploring the emotional geographies of families. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 8, 6 (Dec. 2007), 871–888. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649360701712636>.

[29]

Hand, M. 2011. Ubiquitous photography. Polity.

[30]

Hitchings, R. 2008. Air conditioning and the material culture of routine human encasement: the case of young people in contemporary Singapore. *Journal of Material Culture*. 13, 3 (Nov. 2008), 251–265. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183508095495>.

[31]

Hjorth, L. et al. 2012. *Studying mobile media: cultural technologies, mobile communication, and the iPhone*. Routledge.

[32]

Jones, G. 2010. *Beauty imagined: a history of the global beauty industry*. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Kasperson, R.E. et al. 1988. The Social Amplification of Risk: A Conceptual Framework. *Risk Analysis*. 8, 2 (June 1988), 177–187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01168.x>.

[34]

Kleinman, D.L. 2005. *Science and technology in society: from biotechnology to the Internet*. Blackwell Pub.

[35]

Larsen, J. and Sandbye, M. eds 2014. *Digital snaps: the new face of photography*. I.B. Tauris.

[36]

Latour, B. 2004. How to Talk About the Body? the Normative Dimension of Science Studies. *Body & Society*. 10, 2–3 (June 2004), 205–229.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1357034X04042943>.

[37]

Latour, B. 2005. *Reassembling the social: an introduction to actor-network-theory*. Oxford University Press.

[38]

Latour, B. 1987. *Science in action: how to follow scientists and engineers through society*. Harvard University Press.

[39]

Leyshon, M. et al. 2013. Mobile Technologies and Youthful Exploration: Stimulus or Inhibitor? *Urban Studies*. 50, 3 (Feb. 2013), 587–605.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098012468897>.

[40]

Licoppe, C. 2011. What Does Answering the Phone Mean? A Sociology of the Phone Ring and Musical Ringtones. *Cultural Sociology*. 5, 3 (Sept. 2011), 367–384.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975510378193>.

[41]

Locke, S. 2005. Fantastically reasonable: ambivalence in the representation of science and technology in super-hero comics. *Public Understanding of Science*. 14, 1 (Jan. 2005), 25–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662505048197>.

[42]

Lupton, D. 2013. *Risk*. Routledge.

[43]

Lupton, D. and Tulloch, J. 2002. 'Life would be pretty dull without risk': Voluntary risk-taking and its pleasures. *Health, Risk & Society*. 4, 2 (July 2002), 113–124.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13698570220137015>.

[44]

MacKenzie, D.A. and Wajcman, J. 1999. *The social shaping of technology*. Open University Press.

[45]

Magaudda, P. 2014. The Broken Boundaries between Science and Technology Studies and Cultural Sociology: Introduction to an Interview with Trevor Pinch. *Cultural Sociology*. 8, 1 (Mar. 2014), 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1749975513484604>.

[46]

Mapping the Field: Specialist science news journalism in the UK national media: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/jomec/research/researchgroups/riskscienceandhealth/fundedprojects/mappingscience.html>.

[47]

Matthewman, S. 2011. *Technology and social theory*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[48]

McQuire, S. 2013. Photography's afterlife: Documentary images and the operational archive. *Journal of Material Culture*. 18, 3 (Sept. 2013), 223–241. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183513489930>.

[49]

McVeigh, B. Commodifying Affection, Authority and Gender in the Everyday Objects of Japan. *Journal of Material Culture*. 1, 3, 291–312.

[50]

Michael Mulkey and G. Nigel Gilbert 1982. Joking Apart: Some Recommendations concerning the Analysis of Scientific Culture. *Social Studies of Science*. 12, 4 (1982),

585–613.

[51]

Miller, S. 2001. Public understanding of science at the crossroads. *Public Understanding of Science*. 10, 1 (Jan. 2001), 115–120. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0963-6625/10/1/308>.

[52]

Peters, H.P. et al. 2008. Science-Media Interface: It's Time to Reconsider. *Science Communication*. 30, 2 (Sept. 2008), 266–276. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547008324809>.

[53]

Peters, H.P. 1995. The interaction of journalists and scientific experts: co-operation and conflict between two professional cultures. *Media, Culture & Society*. 17, 1 (Jan. 1995), 31–48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016344395017001003>.

[54]

Pickering, A. 1992. *Science as practice and culture*. University of Chicago Press.

[55]

Pickstone, J.V. 2001. *Ways of knowing: a new history of science, technology and medicine*. University of Chicago Press.

[56]

Pinto, B. et al. 2015. Communicating through humour: A project of stand-up comedy about science. *Public Understanding of Science*. 24, 7 (Oct. 2015), 776–793. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662513511175>.

[57]

Powell, R.C. 2007. Geographies of science: histories, localities, practices, futures. *Progress in Human Geography*. 31, 3 (June 2007), 309–329.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132507077081>.

[58]

Regula Valérie Burri 2008. Doing Distinctions: Boundary Work and Symbolic Capital in Radiology. *Social Studies of Science*. 38, 1 (2008), 35–62.

[59]

Renn, O. 1998. Three decades of risk research: accomplishments and new challenges. *Journal of Risk Research*. 1, 1 (Jan. 1998), 49–71.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/136698798377321>.

[60]

Riesch, H. et al. 2013. Internet-based public debate of CCS: Lessons from online focus groups in Poland and Spain. *Energy Policy*. 56, (May 2013), 693–702.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.01.029>.

[61]

Riesch, H. 2015. Why did the proton cross the road? Humour and science communication. *Public Understanding of Science*. 24, 7 (Oct. 2015), 768–775.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662514546299>.

[62]

Riesch, H. and Spiegelhalter, D.J. 2011. Careless pork costs lives: Risk stories from science to press release to media. *Health, Risk & Society*. 13, 1 (Feb. 2011), 47–64.

[63]

Schwarz, O. 2010. Negotiating Romance in Front of the Lens. *Visual Communication*. 9, 2 (May 2010), 151–169. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357210369982>.

[64]

Silva, E. 2000. The cook, the cooker and the gendering of the kitchen. *The Sociological*

Review. 48, 4 (Nov. 2000), 612–628. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-954X.00235>.

[65]

Sismondo, S. 2010. *An introduction to science and technology studies*. Wiley-Blackwell.

[66]

Stirling, A. 2007. Risk, precaution and science: towards a more constructive policy debate. Talking point on the precautionary principle. *EMBO reports*. 8, 4 (Apr. 2007), 309–315. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400953>.

[67]

Stocking, S.H. and Holstein, L.W. 2008. Manufacturing doubt: journalists' roles and the construction of ignorance in a scientific controversy. *Public Understanding of Science*. 18, 1 (Aug. 2008), 23–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662507079373>.

[68]

Storey, J. 2012. *Cultural theory and popular culture: an introduction*. Pearson.

[69]

Thompson, L. and Cupples, J. 2008. Seen and not heard? Text messaging and digital sociality. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 9, 1 (Feb. 2008), 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649360701789634>.

[70]

Tilley, C. 2006. *Handbook of material culture*. SAGE Publications.

[71]

Turney, J. 1998. *Frankenstein's footsteps: science, genetics and popular culture*. Yale University Press.

[72]

Van House, N.A. 2011. Personal photography, digital technologies and the uses of the visual. *Visual Studies*. 26, 2 (June 2011), 125–134.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1472586X.2011.571888>.

[73]

Vannini, P. 2009. *Material culture and technology in everyday life: ethnographic approaches*. Peter Lang.

[74]

Vialles, N. 1994. *A place that is no place. Animal to edible*. Cambridge University Press. 15–28.

[75]

Vivienne, S. and Burgess, J. 2013. The remediation of the personal photograph and the politics of self-representation in digital storytelling. *Journal of Material Culture*. 18, 3 (Sept. 2013), 279–298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359183513492080>.

[76]

VOLUME 10, ISSUE 1 (2012) | Institute for Critical Animal Studies (ICAS):  
<http://www.criticalanimalstudies.org/volume-10-issue-1-2012/>.

[77]

Wainwright, S.P. 2004. Epiphanies of embodiment: injury, identity and the balletic body. *Qualitative Research*. 4, 3 (Dec. 2004), 311–337.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794104047232>.

[78]

Wajcman, J. et al. 2008. Families without Borders: Mobile Phones, Connectedness and Work-Home Divisions. *Sociology*. 42, 4 (Aug. 2008), 635–652.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038508091620>.

[79]

Weingart, P. et al. 2003. Of Power Maniacs and Unethical Geniuses: Science and Scientists in Fiction Film. *Public Understanding of Science*. 12, 3 (July 2003), 279–287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662503123006>.

[80]

Wessels, B. 2010. *Understanding the Internet: a socio-cultural perspective*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[81]

Woodward, I. 2007. *Understanding material culture*. Sage Publications.

[82]

WYNNE, B. 1992. Uncertainty and environmental learning 1, 2Reconceiving science and policy in the preventive paradigm. *Global Environmental Change*. 2, 2 (June 1992), 111–127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-3780\(92\)90017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-3780(92)90017-2).

[83]

Yearley, S. 2005. *Making sense of science: understanding the social study of science*. SAGE Publications.

[84]

1 AD. Actor-network theory, technology and medical sociology: An illustrative analysis of the metered dose inhaler. *Sociology of health & illness*. 18, (1 AD), 198–219.

[85]

2007. Cosmetic surgery and the televisual makeover: A Foucauldian feminist reading. *Feminist media studies*. 7, (2007), 17–32.

[86]

2 AD. Essentials of Risk Theory (SpringerBriefs in Philosophy). Springer; 2013 edition.

[87]

2004. Mutton cut up as lamb: Mothers, daughters and cosmetic surgery. Continuum (Mount Lawley, W.A.). 18, (2004), 525–539.

[88]

17 AD. The Palgrave handbook of social theory in health, illness and medicine. Palgrave MacMillan.