

# SO2603 Culture Technology & The Media

View Online



- 
1.  
Matthewman S. Technology and Social Theory. Vol Traditions in social theory. Palgrave Macmillan; 2011.
  
  2.  
Sismondo S. An Introduction to Science and Technology Studies. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=320473&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
  
  3.  
Storey J. Cultural Theory and Popular Culture: An Introduction. 6th ed. Pearson; 2012.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=390393&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
  
  4.  
Woodward I. Understanding Material Culture. Sage Publications; 2007.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=255990&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>
  
  5.  
Attias B, Gavanas A, Rietveld HC. DJ Culture in the Mix: Power, Technology, and Social Change in Electronic Dance Music. Bloomsbury Academic; 2013.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s1123049&direct=true&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&site=ehost-live&scope=site&AN=647332>

6.

Bell D. Science, Technology and Culture. Vol Issues in cultural and media studies. Open University Press; 2006.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=95071&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

7.

Berger AA. What Objects Mean: An Introduction to Material Culture. Second edition. Left Coast Press; 2014.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=1253206&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

8.

Biagioli M. The Science Studies Reader. Routledge; 1999.

9.

Bijker WE, Hughes TP, Pinch TJ. The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology. Anniversary ed. MIT Press; 2012.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=365530&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

10.

Bowler PJ, Morus IR. Making Modern Science: A Historical Survey. University of Chicago Press; 2005.

11.

Camic C, Gross N, Lamont M. Social Knowledge in the Making. University of Chicago Press; 2011.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=325024&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

12.

Collins HM, Pinch TJ. *The Golem at Large: What You Should Know about Technology*. Vol Canto. Cambridge University Press; 2002.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=41445&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

13.

David M. *Science in Society*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=86049&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

14.

Erickson M. *Science, Culture and Society: Understanding Science in the Twenty-First Century*. Polity; 2005.

15.

Hackett EJ, Society for Social Studies of Science. *The Handbook of Science and Technology Studies*. 3rd ed. MIT Press; 2008.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=209920&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

16.

Kleinman DL. *Science and Technology in Society: From Biotechnology to the Internet*. Vol Key themes in sociology. Blackwell Pub; 2005.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=202829&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

17.

MacKenzie DA, Wajcman J. *The Social Shaping of Technology*. 2nd ed. Open University Press; 1999.

18.

Pickering A. *Science as Practice and Culture*. University of Chicago Press; 1992.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=nlebk&AN=349593&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

19.

Pickstone JV. *Ways of Knowing: A New History of Science, Technology and Medicine*. University of Chicago Press; 2001.

20.

Tilley C. *Handbook of Material Culture*. SAGE Publications; 2006.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=419419&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

21.

Vannini P. *Material Culture and Technology in Everyday Life: Ethnographic Approaches*. Vol Intersections in communications and culture. Peter Lang; 2009.

22.

Wessels B. *Understanding the Internet: A Socio-Cultural Perspective*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2010.

23.

Yearley S. *Making Sense of Science: Understanding the Social Study of Science*. SAGE Publications; 2005.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=37093&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

24.

Epstein S. Culture and science / technology: rethinking knowledge, power, materiality, and nature. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2008;619:165-182.  
[http://www.jstor.org/stable/40375801?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/40375801?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

25.

The Palgrave Handbook of Social Theory in Health, illness and Medicine. Palgrave MacMillan; 17 AD.

26.

Hitchings R. Air conditioning and the material culture of routine human encasement: the case of young people in contemporary Singapore. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2008;13(3):251-265. doi:10.1177/1359183508095495

27.

Magaudda P. The Broken Boundaries between Science and Technology Studies and Cultural Sociology: Introduction to an Interview with Trevor Pinch. *Cultural Sociology*. 2014;8(1):63-76. doi:10.1177/1749975513484604

28.

Powell RC. Geographies of science: histories, localities, practices, futures. *Progress in Human Geography*. 2007;31(3):309-329. doi:10.1177/0309132507077081

29.

Silva E. The cook, the cooker and the gendering of the kitchen. *The Sociological Review*. 2000;48(4):612-628. doi:10.1111/1467-954X.00235

30.

McVeigh B. Commodifying Affection, Authority and Gender in the Everyday Objects of Japan. *Journal of Material Culture*. 1(3):291-312.  
<https://intra.brunel.ac.uk/s/Library/Digital%20Readings/SO2603%20MCVEIGH%20Commodifying.pdf>

31.

Allan S. Media, Risk, and Science. Vol Issues in cultural and media studies. Open University Press; 2002.

32.

Anderson A. The Framing of Nanotechnologies in the British Newspaper Press. *Science Communication*. 2005;27(2):200-220. doi:10.1177/1075547005281472

33.

Bauer MW. Public Perceptions and Mass Media in the Biotechnology Controversy. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 2005;17(1):5-22. doi:10.1093/ijpor/edh054

34.

Gregory J, Miller S. *Science in Public: Communication, Culture, and Credibility*. Persius Books; 2000.

35.

Peters HP. The interaction of journalists and scientific experts: co-operation and conflict between two professional cultures. *Media, Culture & Society*. 1995;17(1):31-48. doi:10.1177/016344395017001003

36.

Peters HP, Brossard D, de Cheveigne S, et al. Science-Media Interface: It's Time to Reconsider. *Science Communication*. 2008;30(2):266-276. doi:10.1177/1075547008324809

37.

Riesch H, Spiegelhalter DJ. Careless pork costs lives: Risk stories from science to press release to media. *Health, Risk & Society*. 2011;13(1):47-64. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=a9h&AN=58145021&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

38.

Stocking SH, Holstein LW. Manufacturing doubt: journalists' roles and the construction of ignorance in a scientific controversy. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2008;18(1):23-42. doi:10.1177/0963662507079373

39.

Mapping the Field: Specialist science news journalism in the UK national media.  
<http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/jomec/research/researchgroups/riskscienceandhealth/fundedprojects/mappingscience.html>

40.

BALSAMO A. Forms of Technological Embodiment: Reading the Body in Contemporary Culture. *Body & Society*. 1995;1(3-4):215-237. doi:10.1177/1357034X95001003013

41.

Mutton cut up as lamb: Mothers, daughters and cosmetic surgery. *Continuum* (Mount Lawley, WA). 2004;18:525-539.  
[http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Mutton+cut+up+as+lamb%3A+Mothers%2C+daughters+and+cosmetic+surgery&rft.jtitle=Continuum%3A+Journal+of+Media+and+Cultural+Studies&rft.au=Jones%2C+M&rft.date=2004&rft.eissn=1469-3666&rft.volume=18&rft.issue=4&rft.spage=525&rft.epage=539&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0280040001304&paramdict=en-UK](http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Mutton+cut+up+as+lamb%3A+Mothers%2C+daughters+and+cosmetic+surgery&rft.jtitle=Continuum%3A+Journal+of+Media+and+Cultural+Studies&rft.au=Jones%2C+M&rft.date=2004&rft.eissn=1469-3666&rft.volume=18&rft.issue=4&rft.spage=525&rft.epage=539&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0280040001304&paramdict=en-UK)

42.

Cosmetic surgery and the televisual makeover: A Foucauldian feminist reading. *Feminist media studies*. 2007;7:17-32.  
[http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Cosmetic+surgery+and+the+televisual+makeover%3A+A+Foucauldian+feminist+reading&rft.jtitle=Feminist+Media+Studies&rft.au=Heyes%2C+C+J&rft.date=2007&rft.volume=7&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=17&rft.epage=32&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0300060001996&paramdict=en-UK](http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Cosmetic+surgery+and+the+televisual+makeover%3A+A+Foucauldian+feminist+reading&rft.jtitle=Feminist+Media+Studies&rft.au=Heyes%2C+C+J&rft.date=2007&rft.volume=7&rft.issue=1&rft.spage=17&rft.epage=32&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=CAX0300060001996&paramdict=en-UK)

43.

Regula Valérie Burri. Doing Distinctions: Boundary Work and Symbolic Capital in Radiology. *Social Studies of Science*. 2008;38(1):35-62.  
[http://www.jstor.org/stable/25474564?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/25474564?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

44.

Jones G. *Beauty Imagined: A History of the Global Beauty Industry*. Oxford University Press; 2010.

<https://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780191573026>

45.

Latour B. *How to Talk About the Body? the Normative Dimension of Science Studies*. *Body & Society*. 2004;10(2-3):205-229. doi:10.1177/1357034X04042943

46.

Bolter JD. *Turing's Man: Western Culture in the Computer Age*. Penguin; 1993.

47.

Agar J. *Constant Touch: A Global History of the Mobile Phone*. Revised and updated edition. Icon; 2013.

48.

Garcia-Montes JM. *Changes in the self resulting from the use of mobile phones*. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2006;28(1):67-82. doi:10.1177/0163443706059287

49.

Hjorth L, Burgess J, Richardson I. *Studying Mobile Media: Cultural Technologies, Mobile Communication, and the iPhone*. Vol Routledge research in cultural and media studies. Routledge; 2012.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=345860&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

50.

Latour B. *Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers through Society*. Harvard University Press; 1987.

51.

Latour B. Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Vol Clarendon lectures in management studies. Oxford University Press; 2005.  
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=90516&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

52.

Leyshon M, DiGiovanna S, Holcomb B. Mobile Technologies and Youthful Exploration: Stimulus or Inhibitor? *Urban Studies*. 2013;50(3):587-605.  
doi:10.1177/0042098012468897

53.

Licoppe C. What Does Answering the Phone Mean? A Sociology of the Phone Ring and Musical Ringtones. *Cultural Sociology*. 2011;5(3):367-384.  
doi:10.1177/1749975510378193

54.

Actor-network theory, technology and medical sociology: An illustrative analysis of the metered dose inhaler. *Sociology of health & illness*. 1 AD;18:198-219.  
[http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx\\_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx\\_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft\\_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft\\_val\\_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Actor-network+theory%2C+technology+and+medical+sociology%3A+An+illustrative+analysis+of+the+metered+dose+inhaler&rft.jtitle=Sociology+of+Health+and+Illness&rft.au=Prout%2C+Alan&rft.date=1996-03-01&rft.issn=0141-9889&rft.eissn=1467-9566&rft.volume=18&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=198&rft.epage=219&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=126332834&paramdict=en-UK](http://cm7ly9cu9w.search.serialssolutions.com/?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&ctx_enc=info%3Aofi%2Fenc%3AUTF-8&rft_id=info:sid/summon.serialssolutions.com&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:journal&rft.genre=article&rft.atitle=Actor-network+theory%2C+technology+and+medical+sociology%3A+An+illustrative+analysis+of+the+metered+dose+inhaler&rft.jtitle=Sociology+of+Health+and+Illness&rft.au=Prout%2C+Alan&rft.date=1996-03-01&rft.issn=0141-9889&rft.eissn=1467-9566&rft.volume=18&rft.issue=2&rft.spage=198&rft.epage=219&rft.externalDBID=n%2Fa&rft.externalDocID=126332834&paramdict=en-UK)

55.

Thompson L, Cupples J. Seen and not heard? Text messaging and digital sociality. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 2008;9(1):95-108. doi:10.1080/14649360701789634

56.

Wainwright SP. Epiphanies of embodiment: injury, identity and the balletic body. *Qualitative Research*. 2004;4(3):311-337. doi:10.1177/1468794104047232

57.

Wajcman J, Bittman M, Brown JE. Families without Borders: Mobile Phones, Connectedness and Work-Home Divisions. *Sociology*. 2008;42(4):635-652. doi:10.1177/0038038508091620

58.

Devine-Wright P. Beyond NIMBYism: towards an integrated framework for understanding public perceptions of wind energy. *Wind Energy*. 2005;8(2):125-139. doi:10.1002/we.124

59.

Kasperson RE, Renn O, Slovic P, et al. The Social Amplification of Risk: A Conceptual Framework. *Risk Analysis*. 1988;8(2):177-187. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01168.x

60.

Lupton D. *Risk. Vol Key ideas*. 2nd ed. Routledge; 2013. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=485835&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

61.

Lupton D, Tulloch J. 'Life would be pretty dull without risk': Voluntary risk-taking and its pleasures. *Health, Risk & Society*. 2002;4(2):113-124. doi:10.1080/13698570220137015

62.

Renn O. Three decades of risk research: accomplishments and new challenges. *Journal of Risk Research*. 1998;1(1):49-71. doi:10.1080/136698798377321

63.

*Essentials of Risk Theory (SpringerBriefs in Philosophy)*. Springer; 2013 edition; 2 AD. [http://www.amazon.co.uk/Essentials-Risk-Theory-SpringerBriefs-Philosophy/dp/940075454X/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1443611624&sr=8-1&keywords=Essentials+of+Risk+Theory](http://www.amazon.co.uk/Essentials-Risk-Theory-SpringerBriefs-Philosophy/dp/940075454X/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1443611624&sr=8-1&keywords=Essentials+of+Risk+Theory)

64.

Riesch H, Oltra C, Lis A, Upham P, Pol M. Internet-based public debate of CCS: Lessons from online focus groups in Poland and Spain. *Energy Policy*. 2013;56:693-702. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2013.01.029

65.

Stirling A. Risk, precaution and science: towards a more constructive policy debate. *Talking point on the precautionary principle*. *EMBO reports*. 2007;8(4):309-315. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400953

66.

WYNNE B. Uncertainty and environmental learning 1, 2Reconceiving science and policy in the preventive paradigm. *Global Environmental Change*. 1992;2(2):111-127. doi:10.1016/0959-3780(92)90017-2

67.

Bourdieu P. *Photography: A Middle-Brow Art*. Polity; 1990.

68.

Attwood F, Campbell V, Hunter IQ, Lockyer S, eds. *Controversial Images: Media Representations on the Edge*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2013. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=425951&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

69.

Clarke G. *The Photograph*. Vol Oxford history of art. Oxford University Press; 1997.

70.

Hand M. *Ubiquitous Photography*. Polity; 2011.

<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=487274&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

71.

Hallman BC, Benbow SMP. Family leisure, family photography and zoos: exploring the emotional geographies of families. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 2007;8(6):871-888. doi:10.1080/14649360701712636

72.

Larsen J, Sandbye M, eds. *Digital Snaps: The New Face of Photography*. I.B. Tauris; 2014.

73.

McQuire S. Photography's afterlife: Documentary images and the operational archive. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2013;18(3):223-241. doi:10.1177/1359183513489930

74.

Schwarz O. Negotiating Romance in Front of the Lens. *Visual Communication*. 2010;9(2):151-169. doi:10.1177/1470357210369982

75.

van Dijck J. Digital photography: communication, identity, memory. *Visual Communication*. 2008;7(1):57-76. doi:10.1177/1470357207084865

76.

Van House NA. Personal photography, digital technologies and the uses of the visual. *Visual Studies*. 2011;26(2):125-134. doi:10.1080/1472586X.2011.571888

77.

Vivienne S, Burgess J. The remediation of the personal photograph and the politics of self-representation in digital storytelling. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2013;18(3):279-298.

doi:10.1177/1359183513492080

78.

Bell AR. Science as "Horrible": Irreverent Deference in Science Communication. *Science as Culture*. 2011;20(4):491-512. doi:10.1080/09505431.2011.605921

79.

Miller S. Public understanding of science at the crossroads. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2001;10(1):115-120. doi:10.1088/0963-6625/10/1/308

80.

Michael Mulkay and G. Nigel Gilbert. Joking Apart: Some Recommendations concerning the Analysis of Scientific Culture. *Social Studies of Science*. 1982;12(4):585-613. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/284829?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page\\_scan\\_tab\\_contents](http://www.jstor.org/stable/284829?pq-origsite=summon&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents)

81.

Pinto B, Marcal D, Vaz SG. Communicating through humour: A project of stand-up comedy about science. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2015;24(7):776-793. doi:10.1177/0963662513511175

82.

Riesch H. Why did the proton cross the road? Humour and science communication. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2015;24(7):768-775. doi:10.1177/0963662514546299

83.

Allan S. *Media, Risk, and Science*. Vol Issues in cultural and media studies. Open University Press; 2002.

84.

Locke S. Fantastically reasonable: ambivalence in the representation of science and

technology in super-hero comics. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2005;14(1):25-46.  
doi:10.1177/0963662505048197

85.

Turney J. *Frankenstein's Footsteps: Science, Genetics and Popular Culture*. Yale University Press; 1998.

86.

Weingart P, Muhl C, Pansegrau P. Of Power Maniacs and Unethical Geniuses: Science and Scientists in Fiction Film. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2003;12(3):279-287.  
doi:10.1177/0963662503123006

87.

VOLUME 10, ISSUE 1 (2012) | Institute for Critical Animal Studies (ICAS).  
<http://www.criticalanimalstudies.org/volume-10-issue-1-2012/>

88.

Vialles N. A place that is no place. In: *Animal to Edible*. Cambridge University Press; 1994:15-28.  
<https://intra.brunel.ac.uk/s/Library/Digital%20Readings/SO2603%20VIALLES%20Place.pdf>