

SO2603 Culture Technology & The Media

View Online



-
- 1
Matthewman S. Technology and social theory. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2011.
 - 2
Sismondo S. An introduction to science and technology studies. 2nd ed. Chichester, West Sussex, U.K.: Wiley-Blackwell 2010.
 - 3
Storey J. Cultural theory and popular culture: an introduction. 6th ed. Harlow, England: Pearson 2012.
 - 4
Woodward I. Understanding material culture. Los Angeles: Sage Publications 2007.
 - 5
Attias B, Gavanas A, Rietveld HC. DJ culture in the mix: power, technology, and social change in electronic dance music. New York: Bloomsbury Academic 2013.
 - 6
Bell D. Science, technology and culture. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press 2006.

7

Berger AA. What objects mean: an introduction to material culture. Second edition. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press 2014.

8

Biagioli M. The science studies reader. New York: Routledge 1999.

9

Bijker WE, Hughes TP, Pinch TJ. The social construction of technological systems: new directions in the sociology and history of technology. Anniversary ed. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press 2012.

10

Bowler PJ, Morus IR. Making modern science: a historical survey. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press 2005.

11

Camic C, Gross N, Lamont M. Social knowledge in the making. Chicago: University of Chicago Press 2011.

12

Collins HM, Pinch TJ. The Golem at large: what you should know about technology. New York: Cambridge University Press 2002.

13

David M. Science in society. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2005.

14

Erickson M. Science, culture and society: understanding science in the twenty-first century. Cambridge: Polity 2005.

15

Hackett EJ, Society for Social Studies of Science. The handbook of science and technology studies. 3rd ed. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press 2008.

16

Kleinman DL. Science and technology in society: from biotechnology to the Internet. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub 2005.

17

MacKenzie DA, Wajcman J. The social shaping of technology. 2nd ed. Buckingham: Open University Press 1999.

18

Pickering A. Science as practice and culture. Chicago: University of Chicago Press 1992.

19

Pickstone JV. Ways of knowing: a new history of science, technology and medicine. Manchester: University of Chicago Press 2001.

20

Tilley C. Handbook of material culture. London: SAGE Publications 2006.

21

Vannini P. Material culture and technology in everyday life: ethnographic approaches. New York: Peter Lang 2009.

22

Wessels B. Understanding the Internet: a socio-cultural perspective. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan 2010.

23

Yearley S. Making sense of science: understanding the social study of science. London: SAGE Publications 2005.

24

Epstein S. Culture and science / technology: rethinking knowledge, power, materiality, and nature. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2008;619:165–82.

25

The Palgrave handbook of social theory in health, illness and medicine. Palgrave MacMillan 17 AD.

26

Hitchings R. Air conditioning and the material culture of routine human encasement: the case of young people in contemporary Singapore. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2008;13:251–65. doi: 10.1177/1359183508095495

27

Magaudda P. The Broken Boundaries between Science and Technology Studies and Cultural Sociology: Introduction to an Interview with Trevor Pinch. *Cultural Sociology*. 2014;8:63–76. doi: 10.1177/1749975513484604

28

Powell RC. Geographies of science: histories, localities, practices, futures. *Progress in Human Geography*. 2007;31:309–29. doi: 10.1177/0309132507077081

29

Silva E. The cook, the cooker and the gendering of the kitchen. *The Sociological Review*. 2000;48:612–28. doi: 10.1111/1467-954X.00235

30

McVeigh B. Commodifying Affection, Authority and Gender in the Everyday Objects of Japan. *Journal of Material Culture*. ;1:291–312.

31

Allan S. *Media, risk, and science*. Buckingham: Open University Press 2002.

32

Anderson A. The Framing of Nanotechnologies in the British Newspaper Press. *Science Communication*. 2005;27:200–20. doi: 10.1177/1075547005281472

33

Bauer MW. Public Perceptions and Mass Media in the Biotechnology Controversy. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 2005;17:5–22. doi: 10.1093/ijpor/edh054

34

Gregory J, Miller S. *Science in public: communication, culture, and credibility*. Cambridge, MA: Persius Books 2000.

35

Peters HP. The interaction of journalists and scientific experts: co-operation and conflict between two professional cultures. *Media, Culture & Society*. 1995;17:31–48. doi: 10.1177/016344395017001003

36

Peters HP, Brossard D, de Cheveigne S, et al. Science-Media Interface: It's Time to Reconsider. *Science Communication*. 2008;30:266–76. doi: 10.1177/1075547008324809

37

Riesch H, Spiegelhalter DJ. Careless pork costs lives: Risk stories from science to press release to media. *Health, Risk & Society*. 2011;13:47–64.

38

Stocking SH, Holstein LW. Manufacturing doubt: journalists' roles and the construction of ignorance in a scientific controversy. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2008;18:23–42. doi: 10.1177/0963662507079373

39

Mapping the Field: Specialist science news journalism in the UK national media.
<http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/jomec/research/researchgroups/riskscienceandhealth/fundedprojects/mappingscience.html>

40

BALSAMO A. Forms of Technological Embodiment: Reading the Body in Contemporary Culture. *Body & Society*. 1995;1:215–37. doi: 10.1177/1357034X95001003013

41

Mutton cut up as lamb: Mothers, daughters and cosmetic surgery. *Continuum (Mount Lawley, WA)*. 2004;18:525–39.

42

Cosmetic surgery and the televisual makeover: A Foucauldian feminist reading. *Feminist media studies*. 2007;7:17–32.

43

Regula Valérie Burri. Doing Distinctions: Boundary Work and Symbolic Capital in Radiology. *Social Studies of Science*. 2008;38:35–62.

44

Jones G. *Beauty imagined: a history of the global beauty industry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2010.

45

Latour B. How to Talk About the Body? the Normative Dimension of Science Studies. *Body & Society*. 2004;10:205–29. doi: 10.1177/1357034X04042943

46

Bolter JD. *Turing's man: western culture in the computer age*. London: Penguin 1993.

47

Agar J. *Constant touch: a global history of the mobile phone*. Revised and updated edition. London: Icon 2013.

48

Garcia-Montes JM. Changes in the self resulting from the use of mobile phones. *Media, Culture & Society*. 2006;28:67–82. doi: 10.1177/0163443706059287

49

Hjorth L, Burgess J, Richardson I. *Studying mobile media: cultural technologies, mobile communication, and the iPhone*. New York, NY: Routledge 2012.

50

Latour B. *Science in action: how to follow scientists and engineers through society*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press 1987.

51

Latour B. Reassembling the social: an introduction to actor-network-theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2005.

52

Leyshon M, DiGiovanna S, Holcomb B. Mobile Technologies and Youthful Exploration: Stimulus or Inhibitor? *Urban Studies*. 2013;50:587-605. doi: 10.1177/0042098012468897

53

Licoppe C. What Does Answering the Phone Mean? A Sociology of the Phone Ring and Musical Ringtones. *Cultural Sociology*. 2011;5:367-84. doi: 10.1177/1749975510378193

54

Actor-network theory, technology and medical sociology: An illustrative analysis of the metered dose inhaler. *Sociology of health & illness*. 1 AD;18:198-219.

55

Thompson L, Cupples J. Seen and not heard? Text messaging and digital sociality. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 2008;9:95-108. doi: 10.1080/14649360701789634

56

Wainwright SP. Epiphanies of embodiment: injury, identity and the balletic body. *Qualitative Research*. 2004;4:311-37. doi: 10.1177/1468794104047232

57

Wajcman J, Bittman M, Brown JE. Families without Borders: Mobile Phones, Connectedness and Work-Home Divisions. *Sociology*. 2008;42:635-52. doi: 10.1177/0038038508091620

58

Devine-Wright P. Beyond NIMBYism: towards an integrated framework for understanding public perceptions of wind energy. *Wind Energy*. 2005;8:125–39. doi: 10.1002/we.124

59

Kasperson RE, Renn O, Slovic P, et al. The Social Amplification of Risk: A Conceptual Framework. *Risk Analysis*. 1988;8:177–87. doi: 10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01168.x

60

Lupton D. *Risk*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge 2013.

61

Lupton D, Tulloch J. 'Life would be pretty dull without risk': Voluntary risk-taking and its pleasures. *Health, Risk & Society*. 2002;4:113–24. doi: 10.1080/13698570220137015

62

Renn O. Three decades of risk research: accomplishments and new challenges. *Journal of Risk Research*. 1998;1:49–71. doi: 10.1080/136698798377321

63

Essentials of Risk Theory (SpringerBriefs in Philosophy). Springer; 2013 edition 2 AD.

64

Riesch H, Oltra C, Lis A, et al. Internet-based public debate of CCS: Lessons from online focus groups in Poland and Spain. *Energy Policy*. 2013;56:693–702. doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2013.01.029

65

Stirling A. Risk, precaution and science: towards a more constructive policy debate. *Talking point on the precautionary principle*. *EMBO reports*. 2007;8:309–15. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400953

66

WYNNE B. Uncertainty and environmental learning 1, 2Reconceiving science and policy in the preventive paradigm. *Global Environmental Change*. 1992;2:111-27. doi: 10.1016/0959-3780(92)90017-2

67

Bourdieu P. *Photography: a middle-brow art*. Cambridge: Polity 1990.

68

Attwood F, Campbell V, Hunter IQ, et al., editors. *Controversial images: media representations on the edge*. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire [England]: Palgrave Macmillan 2013.

69

Clarke G. *The photograph*. Oxford: Oxford University Press 1997.

70

Hand M. *Ubiquitous photography*. Cambridge: Polity 2011.

71

Hallman BC, Benbow SMP. Family leisure, family photography and zoos: exploring the emotional geographies of families. *Social & Cultural Geography*. 2007;8:871-88. doi: 10.1080/14649360701712636

72

Larsen J, Sandbye M, editors. *Digital snaps: the new face of photography*. London: I.B. Tauris 2014.

73

McQuire S. Photography's afterlife: Documentary images and the operational archive. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2013;18:223–41. doi: 10.1177/1359183513489930

74

Schwarz O. Negotiating Romance in Front of the Lens. *Visual Communication*. 2010;9:151–69. doi: 10.1177/1470357210369982

75

van Dijck J. Digital photography: communication, identity, memory. *Visual Communication*. 2008;7:57–76. doi: 10.1177/1470357207084865

76

Van House NA. Personal photography, digital technologies and the uses of the visual. *Visual Studies*. 2011;26:125–34. doi: 10.1080/1472586X.2011.571888

77

Vivienne S, Burgess J. The remediation of the personal photograph and the politics of self-representation in digital storytelling. *Journal of Material Culture*. 2013;18:279–98. doi: 10.1177/1359183513492080

78

Bell AR. Science as "Horrible": Irreverent Deference in Science Communication. *Science as Culture*. 2011;20:491–512. doi: 10.1080/09505431.2011.605921

79

Miller S. Public understanding of science at the crossroads. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2001;10:115–20. doi: 10.1088/0963-6625/10/1/308

80

Michael Mulkey and G. Nigel Gilbert. Joking Apart: Some Recommendations concerning the Analysis of Scientific Culture. *Social Studies of Science*. 1982;12:585–613.

81

Pinto B, Marcal D, Vaz SG. Communicating through humour: A project of stand-up comedy about science. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2015;24:776–93. doi: 10.1177/0963662513511175

82

Riesch H. Why did the proton cross the road? Humour and science communication. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2015;24:768–75. doi: 10.1177/0963662514546299

83

Allan S. *Media, risk, and science*. Buckingham: Open University Press 2002.

84

Locke S. Fantastically reasonable: ambivalence in the representation of science and technology in super-hero comics. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2005;14:25–46. doi: 10.1177/0963662505048197

85

Turney J. *Frankenstein's footsteps: science, genetics and popular culture*. New Haven, [Conn.]: Yale University Press 1998.

86

Weingart P, Muhl C, Pansegrau P. Of Power Maniacs and Unethical Geniuses: Science and Scientists in Fiction Film. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2003;12:279–87. doi: 10.1177/0963662503123006

87

VOLUME 10, ISSUE 1 (2012) | Institute for Critical Animal Studies (ICAS).
<http://www.criticalanimalstudies.org/volume-10-issue-1-2012/>

88

Vialles N. A place that is no place. Animal to edible. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1994:15-28.