

PY3608 - Psychology of Aesthetics

Was PY3206

View Online



[1]

Ackerley, R. et al. 2014. Quantifying the sensory and emotional perception of touch: differences between glabrous and hairy skin. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*. 8, (2014).

[2]

Augustin, M.D. et al. 2011. The neural time course of art perception: An ERP study on the processing of style versus content in art. *Neuropsychologia*. 49, 7 (Jun. 2011), 2071–2081. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.03.038>.

[3]

Auvray, M. and Spence, C. 2008. The multisensory perception of flavor. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 17, 3 (Sep. 2008), 1016–1031. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2007.06.005>.

[4]

Aviezer, H. et al. 2012. Body cues, not facial expressions, discriminate between intense positive and negative emotions. *Science*. 338, 6111 (Nov. 2012), 1225–1229. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224313>.

[5]

Bar, M. and Neta, M. 2006. Humans prefer curved visual objects. *Psychological science*. 17, 8 (Aug. 2006), 645–648. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01759.x>.

[6]

Berlyne, D.E. 1970. Novelty, complexity, and hedonic value. *Perception & Psychophysics*. 8, 5 (Sep. 1970), 279–286. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03212593>.

[7]

Berlyne, D.E. 1974. *Studies in the new experimental aesthetics: steps toward an objective psychology of aesthetic appreciation*. Hemisphere Publishing.

[8]

Besson, M. and Faïta, F. 1995. An event-related potential (ERP) study of musical expectancy: Comparison of musicians with nonmusicians. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 21, 6 (1995), 1278–1296.

[9]

Birch, L. 1999. Development of food preferences. *Annual review of nutrition*. 19, 1 (1999), 41–62.

[10]

Bornstein, R.F. 1989. Exposure and affect: overview and meta-analysis of research, 1968-1987. *Psychological bulletin*. 106, 2 (1989), 265–289. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.106.2.265>.

[11]

Calvo-Merino, B. 2004. Action observation and acquired motor skills: an fMRI study with expert dancers. *Cerebral cortex*. 15, 8 (Nov. 2004), 1243–1249.

[12]

Calvo-Merino, B. et al. 2010. Extrastriate body area underlies aesthetic evaluation of body stimuli. *Experimental brain research*. 204, 3 (Jul. 2010), 447–456. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-010-2283-6>.

[13]

Calvo-Merino, B. et al. 2006. Seeing or doing? Influence of visual and motor familiarity in action observation. *Current biology*. 16, 19 (Oct. 2006), 1905–1910. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.065>.

[14]

Calvo-Merino, B. et al. 2008. Towards a sensorimotor aesthetics of performing art. *Consciousness and cognition*. 17, 3 (Sep. 2008), 911–922. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2007.11.003>.

[15]

Carbon, C.-C. 2010. The cycle of preference: Long-term dynamics of aesthetic appreciation. *Acta Psychologica*. 134, 2 (Jun. 2010), 233–244. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2010.02.004>.

[16]

Castriota-Scanderberg, A. 2005. The appreciation of wine by sommeliers: a functional magnetic resonance study of sensory integration. *NeuroImage*. 25, 2 (2005), 570–578.

[17]

Checkosky, S.F. and Whitlock, D. 1973. Effects of pattern goodness on recognition time in a memory search task. *Journal of experimental psychology*. 100, 2 (1973), 341–348. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0035692>.

[18]

Chrea, C. 2005. Semantic, typicality and odor representation: a cross-cultural study. *Chemical senses*. 30, 1 (Jan. 2005), 37–49. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjh255>.

[19]

Cinzia, D.D. and Vittorio, G. 2009. Neuroaesthetics: a review. *Current opinion in neurobiology*. 19, 6 (Dec. 2009), 682–687. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2009.09.001>.

[20]

Colin Martindale, Kathleen Moore and Jonathan Borkum 1990. Aesthetic Preference: Anomalous Findings for Berlyne's Psychobiological Theory. *The American Journal of Psychology*. 103, 1 (1990), 53-80.

[21]

Cross, E. 2011. The impact of aesthetic evaluation and physical ability on dance perception. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 5, (2011). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2011.00102>.

[22]

Dalziell, A.H. et al. 2013. Dance choreography is coordinated with song repertoire in a complex avian display. *Current biology*. 23, 12 (Jun. 2013), 1132-1135. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.018>.

[23]

Daprati, E. et al. 2009. A dance to the music of time: aesthetically-relevant changes in body posture in performing art. *PLoS ONE*. 4, 3 (Mar. 2009). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005023>.

[24]

Delon-Martin, C. et al. 2013. Perfumers' expertise induces structural reorganization in olfactory brain regions. *NeuroImage*. 68, (Mar. 2013), 55-62. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.044>.

[25]

Di Dio, C. et al. 2007. The golden beauty: brain response to classical and renaissance sculptures. *PLoS ONE*. 2, 11 (Nov. 2007). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001201>.

[26]

Djordjevic, J. et al. 2004. Odor-induced changes in taste perception. *Experimental brain research*. 159, 3 (Dec. 2004), 405-408. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-004-2103-y>.

[27]

Evans, M. et al. 2009. Consumer behaviour. Wiley.

[28]

de Gelder, B. 2006. Towards the neurobiology of emotional body language. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 7, 3 (Mar. 2006), 242–249. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1872>.

[29]

Goldstein, E.B. 2002. Sensation and perception. Wadsworth-Thomson Learning.

[30]

Gombrich, E. H. 2002. Art and illusion: a study in the psychology of pictorial representation . Phaidon.

[31]

Hannon, E.E. et al. 2012. Familiarity overrides complexity in rhythm perception: A cross-cultural comparison of American and Turkish listeners. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 38, 3 (2012), 543–548.

[32]

Hagtvedt, C.P. et al. 2008. Handbook of consumer psychology. Lawrence Erlbaum.

[33]

Hurlbert, A.C. and Ling, Y. 2007. Biological components of sex differences in color preference. *Current biology*. 17, 16 (Aug. 2007), R623–R625. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.06.022>.

[34]

Jacobsen, T. 2013. The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes. The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes. Elsevier. 159–168.

[35]

Jacobsen, T. and Hoefel, L. 2003. Descriptive and evaluative judgement processes: Behavioral and electrophysiological indices of processing symmetry and aesthetics. Cognitive, affective, & behavioral neuroscience. 3, 4 (2003).

[36]

Jacoby, L.L. et al. 1989. Becoming famous overnight: Limits on the ability to avoid unconscious influences of the past. Journal of personality and social psychology. 56, 3 (1989), 326–338.

[37]

Jakesch, M. et al. 2013. Image ambiguity and fluency. PLoS ONE. 8, 9 (Sep. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074084>.

[38]

Karremans, J.C. et al. 2006. Beyond Vicary's fantasies: The impact of subliminal priming and brand choice. Journal of experimental social psychology. 42, 6 (Nov. 2006), 792–798. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.12.002>.

[39]

Kawabata, H. and Zeki, S. 2004. Neural correlates of beauty. Journal of neurophysiology. 91, 4 (Apr. 2004), 1699–1705. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00696.2003>.

[40]

Kirk, U. 2008. The neural basis of object-context relationships on aesthetic judgment. PLoS ONE. 3, 11 (Nov. 2008). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003754>.

[41]

Kirsch, L.P. et al. 2013. The impact of sensorimotor experience on affective evaluation of dance. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 7, (2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00521>.

[42]

Koelsch, S. 2011. Toward a neural basis of music perception – a review and updated model. *Frontier in psychology*. 2, (2011). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00110>.

[43]

Kornysheva, K. et al. 2009. Tuning-in to the beat: aesthetic appreciation of musical rhythms correlates with a premotor activity boost. *Human brain mapping*. (2009), NA-NA. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20844>.

[44]

Krumhansl, C.L. and Shepard, R.N. 1979. Quantification of the hierarchy of tonal functions within a diatonic context. *Journal of experimental psychology: human perception and performance*. 5, 4 (1979), 579–594. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1037//0096-1523.5.4.579>.

[45]

Kuhn, G. and Dienes, Z. 2005. Implicit learning of nonlocal musical rules: Implicitly learning more than chunks. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition*. 31, 6 (2005), 1417–1432.

[46]

Lambrou, C. et al. 2011. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 120, 2 (2011), 443–453.

[47]

Lambrou, C. et al. 2011. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 120, 2 (2011), 443–453.

[48]

Leder, H. et al. 2004. A model of aesthetic appreciation and aesthetic judgments. *British Journal of Psychology*. 95, 4 (Nov. 2004), 489–508.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1348/0007126042369811>.

[49]

Livingstone, M.S. Is it warm? Is it real? Or just low spatial frequency? *Science*. 290, 5495.

[50]

Loeken et al., L.S. 2009. Coding of pleasant touch. *Nature neuroscience*. 12, 5 (2009), 547–548.

[51]

McCarty, K. et al. 2013. Male body movements as possible cues to physical strength: a biomechanical analysis. *American journal of human biology*. 25, 3 (May 2013), 307–312.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.22360>.

[52]

McManus, I.C. 2005. Symmetry and asymmetry in aesthetics and the arts. *European review*. Suppl. S2 (2005), 157–180. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1062798705000736>.

[53]

McManus, I.C. 1980. The aesthetics of simple figures. *British journal of psychology*. 71, (1980), 505–524.

[54]

McManus, I.C. et al. 2011. The psychometrics of photographic cropping: The influence of colour, meaning, and expertise. *Perception*. (2011). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1068/p6700>.

[55]

McManus, I.C. and Weatherby, P. 1997. The golden section and the aesthetics of form and composition. *Empirical studies of the arts*. 15, 2 (1997), 209–232.

[56]

Mele, S. et al. 2013. The importance of perceptual experience in the esthetic appreciation of the body. *PLoS ONE*. 8, 12 (Dec. 2013).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081378>.

[57]

Minnebusch, D.A. and Daum, I. 2009. Neuropsychological mechanisms of visual face and body perception. *Neuroscience & biobehavioral reviews*. 33, 7 (Jul. 2009), 1133–1144.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.05.008>.

[58]

Nadal, M. et al. 2008. Towards a framework for the study of the neural correlates of aesthetic preference. *Spatial vision*. 21, 3 (May 2008), 379–396.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1163/156856808784532653>.

[59]

Nozaradan, S. et al. 2012. Selective Neuronal Entrainment to the Beat and Meter Embedded in a Musical Rhythm. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 32, 49 (Dec. 2012), 17572–17581. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3203-12.2012>.

[60]

Opacic, T. et al. 2009. Unspoken knowledge: Implicit learning of structured human dance movement. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition*. 35, 6 (2009), 1570–1577.

[61]

Orgs, G. et al. 2006. Conceptual priming for environmental sounds and words: an ERP study. *Brain and cognition*. 62, 3 (Dec. 2006), 267–272.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2006.05.003>.

[62]

Orgs, G. et al. 2008. Expertise in dance modulates alphabeta event-related desynchronization during action observation. *European journal of neuroscience*. 27, 12 (Jun. 2008), 3380–3384. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06271.x>.

[63]

Orgs, G. et al. 2013. Learning to like it: aesthetic perception of bodies, movements and choreographic structure. *Consciousness and cognition*. 22, 2 (Jun. 2013), 603–612. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2013.03.010>.

[64]

Palmer, S. et al. 2008. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: effects of position and direction on framing single objects. *Spatial vision*. 21, 3 (May 2008), 421–449. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1163/156856808784532662>.

[65]

Palmer, S. et al. 2013. Visual aesthetics and human preference. *Annual review of psychology*. (2013).

[66]

Peelen, Marius V.¹ Downing, Paul E.¹ p.downing@bangor.ac.uk The neural basis of visual body perception. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 8, 8, 636–648.

[67]

Piech, R.M. et al. 2010. Neural correlates of affective influence on choice. *Brain and cognition*. 72, 2 (Mar. 2010), 282–288. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.09.012>.

[68]

R. Von Der Heydt, E. Peterhans and G. Baumgartner 1984. Illusory Contours and Cortical Neuron Responses. *Science*. 224, 4654 (1984), 1260–1262.

[69]

Ramachandran, V.S., Hirstein, W. 1999. The science of art: a neurological theory of aesthetic experience. *Journal of consciousness studies*. 6, 6-7 (1999), 15-51.

[70]

Reber, R. et al. 2004. Processing fluency and aesthetic pleasure: is beauty in the perceiver's processing experience? *Personality and social psychology review*. 8, 4 (Nov. 2004), 364-382. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_3.

[71]

Reddish, P. et al. 2013. Let's dance together: synchrony, shared intentionality and cooperation. *PLoS ONE*. 8, 8 (Aug. 2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071182>.

[72]

Rhodes, G. 2006. The evolutionary psychology of facial beauty. *Annual review of psychology*. 57, (2006), 199-266.

[73]

Rizzolatti, G. and Sinigaglia, C. 2010. The functional role of the parieto-frontal mirror circuit: interpretations and misinterpretations. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 11, 4 (Apr. 2010), 264-274. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2805>.

[74]

Rolf Reber, Piotr Winkielman and Norbert Schwarz 1998. Effects of perceptual fluency on affective judgments. *Psychological science*. 9, 1 (1998), 45-48.

[75]

Royet, J.-P. et al. 2013. The impact of expertise in olfaction. *Frontiers in psychology*. 4, (2013). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00928>.

[76]

Rudenga, K. et al. 2010. Evidence for an integrated oral sensory module in the human anterior ventral insula. *Chemical senses*. 35, 8 (Oct. 2010), 693–703.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjq068>.

[77]

Rudolf Arnheim 1974. On order, simplicity and entropy. *Leonardo*. 7, 2 (1974), 139–141.

[78]

Sammartino, J. and Palmer, S.E. 2012. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: Effects of vertical position and perspective on framing single objects. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 38, 4 (2012), 865–879.

[79]

Saygin, A.P. 2004. Point-light biological motion perception activates human premotor cortex. *Journal of neuroscience*. 24, 27 (Jul. 2004), 6181–6188.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0504-04.2004>.

[80]

Schloss, K.B. et al. 2011. Effects of university affiliation and "school spirit" on color preferences: Berkeley versus Stanford. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*. 18, 3 (Jun. 2011), 498–504. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-011-0073-1>.

[81]

Scott, I.M. et al. 2014. Human preferences for sexually dimorphic faces may be evolutionarily novel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. (Sep. 2014). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409643111>.

[82]

Semir Zeki 1998. Art and the brain. *Daedalus*. 127, 2 (1998), 71–103.

[83]

Shankar, M.U. et al. 2010. Grape expectations: The role of cognitive influences in color-flavor interactions. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 19, 1 (Mar. 2010), 380–390. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2009.08.008>.

[84]

Shimamura, A.P. and Palmer, S.E. Aesthetic science: connecting minds, brains, and experience.

[85]

Sian L. Beilock and Lauren E. Holt 2007. Embodied preference judgments: can likeability be driven by the motor system? *Psychological science*. 18, 1 (2007), 51–57. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/40064577>.

[86]

Silvia, Paul J., Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC, US, p_silvia@uncg.edu Emotional responses to art: From collation and arousal to cognition and emotion. *Review of general psychology*.

[87]

Stefan Koelsch 2012. *Brain and music*. John Wiley & Sons.

[88]

Stevens, C.J. 2012. Music perception and cognition: a review of recent cross-cultural research. *Topics in cognitive science*. 4, 4 (Oct. 2012), 653–667. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2012.01215.x>.

[89]

The musician's brain as a model of neuroplasticity : Article : *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*: <http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v3/n6/full/nrn843.html>.

[90]

Topolinski, S. 2010. Moving the eye of the beholder: motor components in vision determine aesthetic preference. *Psychological science*. 21, 9 (Sep. 2010), 1220–1224. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797610378308>.

[91]

Tucker, M. and Ellis, R. 1998. On the relations between seen objects and components of potential actions. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 24, 3 (1998), 830–846.

[92]

Unjung Nam Pitch distributions in Korean court music: evidence consistent with tonal hierarchies. *Music perception: an interdisciplinary journal*. 16, 2, 243–247.

[93]

Urgesi, C. et al. 2007. Transcranial magnetic stimulation reveals two cortical pathways for visual body processing. *Journal of neuroscience*. 27, 30 (Jul. 2007), 8023–8030. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0789-07.2007>.

[94]

Vartanian, O. et al. 2013. Impact of contour on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in architecture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 110, Supplement_2 (Jun. 2013), 10446–10453. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301227110>.

[95]

Wacongne, C. et al. 2011. Evidence for a hierarchy of predictions and prediction errors in human cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 108, 51 (Dec. 2011), 20754–20759. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117807108>.

[96]

Winkielman, P. et al. 2006. Prototypes are attractive because they are easy on the mind. *Psychological science*. 17, 9 (Sep. 2006), 799–806.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01785.x>.

[97]

Winkler, C. and Rhodes, G. 2005. Perceptual adaptation affects attractiveness of female bodies. *British journal of psychology*. 96, 2 (May 2005), 141–154.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1348/000712605X36343>.

[98]

Zajonc, R.B. 1968. Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 9, 2, Pt.2 (1968), 1–27. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0025848>.

[99]

Zeki, S. and Ishizu, T. 2013. The "Visual Shock" of Francis Bacon: an essay in neuroesthetics. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 7, (2013).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00850>.

[100]

Zeki, S. and Lamb, M. 1994. The neurology of kinetic art. *Brain*. 117, 3 (1994), 607–636.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/117.3.607>.

[101]

Zeki, S. and Stutters, J. 2012. A brain-derived metric for preferred kinetic stimuli. *Open biology*. 2, 2 (Feb. 2012), 120001–120001. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.120001>.

[102]

AugustinLederHutzlerCarbon2008.pdf.

[103]

doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2005.08.005 - ledercarbonripsas2006.pdf.

[104]

2004. Neuroanatomical correlates of aesthetic preference for paintings. *Neuroreport*. (2004).