

PY3608 - Psychology of Aesthetics

Was PY3206

View Online



1.

Shimamura AP, Palmer SE. Aesthetic Science: Connecting Minds, Brains, and Experience. <http://lib.mylibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=342328&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

2.

Reber R, Schwarz N, Winkielman P. Processing fluency and aesthetic pleasure: is beauty in the perceiver's processing experience? *Personality and social psychology review*. 2004;8(4):364-382. doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_3

3.

Zajonc RB. Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1968;9(2, Pt.2):1-27. doi:10.1037/h0025848

4.

Jacoby LL, Kelley C, Brown J, Jasechko J. Becoming famous overnight: Limits on the ability to avoid unconscious influences of the past. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1989;56(3):326-338. <http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/viewarticle?data=dGJyMPPp44rp2%2fdV0%2bnjisfk5le46a9JsKmuTLek63nn5Kx95uXxjL6nsEevrq1KrqexOK%2bnuEu0sK9OnrfLPvLo34bx1%2bGM5%2bXsgeKzr1Czq65lr6mvS6Ti34bls%2bOGpNrgVe7a5j7y1%2bVVv8Skeeyzr1G2r6tJta6yUKumrkmk3O2K69fyVeTr6oTy2%2faM&hid=125>

5.

Semir Zeki. Art and the brain. *Daedalus*. 1998;127(2):71-103. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20027491>

6.

Ramachandran, V.S., Hirstein, W. The science of art: a neurological theory of aesthetic experience. *Journal of consciousness studies*. 1999;6(6-7):15-51.
<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/imp/jcs/1999/00000006/F0020006/949>

7.

Leder H, Belke B, Oeberst A, Augustin D. A model of aesthetic appreciation and aesthetic judgments. *British Journal of Psychology*. 2004;95(4):489-508.
doi:10.1348/0007126042369811

8.

Cinzia DD, Vittorio G. Neuroaesthetics: a review. *Current opinion in neurobiology*. 2009;19(6):682-687. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2009.09.001

9.

Jacobsen T. The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes. In: *The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes*. Vol 204. Elsevier; 2013:159-168.

10.

Zeki S, Lamb M. The neurology of kinetic art. *Brain*. 1994;117(3):607-636.
doi:10.1093/brain/117.3.607

11.

Goldstein EB. *Sensation and Perception*. 6th ed. Wadsworth-Thomson Learning; 2002.

12.

Berlyne DE. *Studies in the New Experimental Aesthetics: Steps toward an Objective Psychology of Aesthetic Appreciation*. Hemisphere Publishing; 1974.

13.

Gombrich, E. H. *Art and Illusion: A Study in the Psychology of Pictorial Representation*. 6th ed., with new preface. Phaidon; 2002.

14.

Rolf Reber, Piotr Winkielman and Norbert Schwarz. Effects of perceptual fluency on affective judgments. *Psychological science*. 1998;9(1):45-48.

15.

Bornstein RF. Exposure and affect: overview and meta-analysis of research, 1968-1987. *Psychological bulletin*. 1989;106(2):265-289. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.106.2.265

16.

Winkielman P, Halberstadt J, Fazendeiro T, Catty S. Prototypes are attractive because they are easy on the mind. *Psychological science*. 2006;17(9):799-806. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01785.x

17.

Nadal M, Capó MÀ, Rosselló J, Munar E, Cela-Conde CJ. Towards a framework for the study of the neural correlates of aesthetic preference. *Spatial vision*. 2008;21(3):379-396. doi:10.1163/156856808784532653

18.

Rudolf Arnheim. On order, simplicity and entropy. *Leonardo*. 1974;7(2):139-141. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1572794>

19.

Jacobsen T, Hoefel L. Descriptive and evaluative judgement processes: Behavioral and electrophysiological indices of processing symmetry and aesthetics. *Cognitive, affective, & behavioral neuroscience*. 2003;3(4). <http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2FACBN.3.4.289#page-1>

20.

Silvia, Paul J., Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC, US, p_silvia@uncg.edu. Emotional responses to art: From collation and arousal to cognition and emotion. Review of general psychology. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2006-01036-003&site=ehost-live>

21.

R. Von Der Heydt, E. Peterhans and G. Baumgartner. Illusory Contours and Cortical Neuron Responses. Science. 1984;224(4654):1260-1262. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.brunel.ac.uk/stable/1692068>

22.

Colin Martindale, Kathleen Moore and Jonathan Borkum. Aesthetic Preference: Anomalous Findings for Berlyne's Psychobiological Theory. The American Journal of Psychology. 1990;103(1):53-80. <http://www.jstor.org.ezproxy.brunel.ac.uk/stable/1423259>

23.

doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2005.08.005 - ledercarbonripsas2006.pdf.
<http://www.experimental-psychology.de/ccc/docs/pubs/ledercarbonripsas2006.pdf>

24.

AugustinLederHutzlerCarbon2008.pdf.
<http://www.experimental-psychology.org/ccc/docs/pubs/AugustinLederHutzlerCarbon2008.pdf>

25.

Augustin MD, Defranceschi B, Fuchs HK, Carbon CC, Hutzler F. The neural time course of art perception: An ERP study on the processing of style versus content in art. Neuropsychologia. 2011;49(7):2071-2081. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.03.038

26.

Berlyne DE. Novelty, complexity, and hedonic value. *Perception & Psychophysics*. 1970;8(5):279-286. doi:10.3758/BF03212593

27.

Checkosky SF, Whitlock D. Effects of pattern goodness on recognition time in a memory search task. *Journal of experimental psychology*. 1973;100(2):341-348. doi:10.1037/h0035692

28.

Livingstone MS. Is it warm? Is it real? Or just low spatial frequency? *Science*. 290(5495). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3078215>

29.

Kawabata H, Zeki S. Neural correlates of beauty. *Journal of neurophysiology*. 2004;91(4):1699-1705. doi:10.1152/jn.00696.2003

30.

Neuroanatomical correlates of aesthetic preference for paintings. *Neuroreport*. Published online 2004. http://www.yorku.ca/vgoel/reprints/Vartanian_Goel_art.pdf

31.

Zeki S, Stutters J. A brain-derived metric for preferred kinetic stimuli. *Open biology*. 2012;2(2):120001-120001. doi:10.1098/rsob.120001

32.

Bar M, Neta M. Humans prefer curved visual objects. *Psychological science*. 2006;17(8):645-648. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01759.x

33.

Sammartino J, Palmer SE. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: Effects of vertical position and perspective on framing single objects. *Journal of experimental psychology*:

Human perception and performance. 2012;38(4):865-879.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?vid=3&sid=d5251d3c-e066-483c-819c-82080369407f%40sessionmgr4003&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=2012-06754-001>

34.

Palmer S, Schloss KB, Sammartino J. Visual aesthetics and human preference. Annual review of psychology. Published online 2013.
[http://socrates.berkeley.edu/~plab/pdf/PalmerSchlossSammartino\(2013\)AR.pdf](http://socrates.berkeley.edu/~plab/pdf/PalmerSchlossSammartino(2013)AR.pdf)

35.

Hurlbert AC, Ling Y. Biological components of sex differences in color preference. Current biology. 2007;17(16):R623-R625. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.06.022

36.

Schloss KB, Poggesi RM, Palmer SE. Effects of university affiliation and "school spirit" on color preferences: Berkeley versus Stanford. Psychonomic bulletin & review. 2011;18(3):498-504. doi:10.3758/s13423-011-0073-1

37.

McManus IC. The aesthetics of simple figures. British journal of psychology. 1980;71:505-524.
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1293705404?OpenUrlRefId=info:xri/sid:summon&accountid=14494>

38.

McManus IC, Weatherby P. The golden section and the aesthetics of form and composition. Empirical studies of the arts. 1997;15(2):209-232.
<http://www.ucl.ac.uk/medical-education/reprints/1997EmpiricalStudiesArts-GoldenSection.PDF>

39.

Palmer S, Gardner J, Wickens T. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: effects of position and direction on framing single objects. Spatial vision. 2008;21(3):421-449.

doi:10.1163/156856808784532662

40.

Tucker M, Ellis R. On the relations between seen objects and components of potential actions. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*.

1998;24(3):830-846.

<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?sid=ad395592-8f4e-44f9-8ce0-42eabf61c6b4%40sessionmgr115&vid=4&hid=120&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=1998-02354-011>

41.

McManus IC. Symmetry and asymmetry in aesthetics and the arts. *European review*.

2005;(Suppl. S2):157-180. doi:10.1017/S1062798705000736

42.

Jakesch M, Leder H, Forster M. Image ambiguity and fluency. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(9).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074084

43.

Kirk U. The neural basis of object-context relationships on aesthetic judgment. *PLoS ONE*.

2008;3(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003754

44.

McManus IC, Zhou FA, l'Anson S, Waterfield L, Stöver K, Cook R. The psychometrics of photographic cropping: The influence of colour, meaning, and expertise. *Perception*.

Published online 2011. doi:10.1068/p6700

45.

Vartanian O, Navarrete G, Chatterjee A, et al. Impact of contour on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in architecture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013;110(Supplement_2):10446-10453. doi:10.1073/pnas.1301227110

46.

Zeki S, Ishizu T. The "Visual Shock" of Francis Bacon: an essay in neuroesthetics. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2013;7. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00850

47.

Stefan Koelsch. *Brain and Music*. John Wiley & Sons; 2012.

48.

Koelsch S. Toward a neural basis of music perception – a review and updated model. *Frontier in psychology*. 2011;2. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00110

49.

Kornysheva K, von Cramon DY, Jacobsen T, Schubotz RI. Tuning-in to the beat: aesthetic appreciation of musical rhythms correlates with a premotor activity boost. *Human brain mapping*. Published online 2009:NA-NA. doi:10.1002/hbm.20844

50.

Stevens CJ. Music perception and cognition: a review of recent cross-cultural research. *Topics in cognitive science*. 2012;4(4):653-667. doi:10.1111/j.1756-8765.2012.01215.x

51.

Unjung Nam. Pitch distributions in Korean court music: evidence consistent with tonal hierarchies. *Music perception: an interdisciplinary journal*. 16(2):243-247. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40285789>

52.

Krumhansl CL, Shepard RN. Quantification of the hierarchy of tonal functions within a diatonic context. *Journal of experimental psychology: human perception and performance*. 1979;5(4):579-594. doi:10.1037//0096-1523.5.4.579

53.

Wacongne C, Labyt E, van Wassenhove V, Bekinschtein T, Naccache L, Dehaene S. Evidence for a hierarchy of predictions and prediction errors in human cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011;108(51):20754-20759. doi:10.1073/pnas.1117807108

54.

Besson M, Faïta F. An event-related potential (ERP) study of musical expectancy: Comparison of musicians with nonmusicians. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 1995;21(6):1278-1296. <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?sid=a7648c3a-40e6-45b2-968f-b5892491674d%40sessionmgr4005&vid=4&hid=4212&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZW9vc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=1996-16299-001>

55.

Kuhn G, Dienes Z. Implicit learning of nonlocal musical rules: Implicitly learning more than chunks. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition*. 2005;31(6):1417-1432. <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdfviewer/pdfviewer?sid=ce7eb9dd-4212-4b81-8707-d91549eba95e%40sessionmgr4003&vid=5&hid=4109>

56.

Orgs G, Lange K, Dombrowski JH, Heil M. Conceptual priming for environmental sounds and words: an ERP study. *Brain and cognition*. 2006;62(3):267-272. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2006.05.003

57.

Nozaradan S, Peretz I, Mouraux A. Selective Neuronal Entrainment to the Beat and Meter Embedded in a Musical Rhythm. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012;32(49):17572-17581. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3203-12.2012

58.

Calvo-Merino B, Urgesi C, Orgs G, Aglioti SM, Haggard P. Extrastriate body area underlies aesthetic evaluation of body stimuli. *Experimental brain research*. 2010;204(3):447-456. doi:10.1007/s00221-010-2283-6

59.

Rhodes G. The evolutionary psychology of facial beauty. *Annual review of psychology*. 2006;57:199-266.

<http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.psych.57.102904.190208>

60.

Peelen, Marius V.1Downing, Paul E.1 p.downing@bangor.ac.uk. The neural basis of visual body perception. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 8(8):636-648.

<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=25811795&site=ehost-live>

61.

Minnebusch DA, Daum I. Neuropsychological mechanisms of visual face and body perception. *Neuroscience & biobehavioral reviews*. 2009;33(7):1133-1144.

doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.05.008

62.

Di Dio C, Macaluso E, Rizzolatti G. The golden beauty: brain response to classical and renaissance sculptures. *PLoS ONE*. 2007;2(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001201

63.

Lambrou C, Veale D, Wilson G. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2011;120(2):443-453.

<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?sid=219f1169-7335-4067-bd03-59f7ac841777%40sessionmgr112&vid=4&hid=113&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=2011-01601-001>

64.

Mele S, Cazzato V, Urgesi C. The importance of perceptual experience in the esthetic appreciation of the body. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081378

65.

Lambrou C, Veale D, Wilson G. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic

disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2011;120(2):443-453.
<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?sid=a5eee4ae-b9e0-48d0-9c11-f89eb504af6b%40sessionmgr111&vid=5&hid=122&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZwhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=2011-01601-001>

66.

Winkler C, Rhodes G. Perceptual adaptation affects attractiveness of female bodies. *British journal of psychology*. 2005;96(2):141-154. doi:10.1348/000712605X36343

67.

Aviezer H, Trope Y, Todorov A. Body cues, not facial expressions, discriminate between intense positive and negative emotions. *Science*. 2012;338(6111):1225-1229. doi:10.1126/science.1224313

68.

de Gelder B. Towards the neurobiology of emotional body language. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 2006;7(3):242-249. doi:10.1038/nrn1872

69.

Urgesi C, Calvo-Merino B, Haggard P, Aglioti SM. Transcranial magnetic stimulation reveals two cortical pathways for visual body processing. *Journal of neuroscience*. 2007;27(30):8023-8030. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0789-07.2007

70.

Daprati E, Iosa M, Haggard P. A dance to the music of time: aesthetically-relevant changes in body posture in performing art. *PLoS ONE*. 2009;4(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005023

71.

Scott IM, Clark AP, Josephson SC, et al. Human preferences for sexually dimorphic faces may be evolutionarily novel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Published online 22 September 2014. doi:10.1073/pnas.1409643111

72.

Calvo-Merino B, Jola C, Glaser DE, Haggard P. Towards a sensorimotor aesthetics of performing art. *Consciousness and cognition*. 2008;17(3):911-922. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2007.11.003

73.

Dalziel AH, Peters RA, Cockburn A, Dorland AD, Maisey AC, Magrath RD. Dance choreography is coordinated with song repertoire in a complex avian display. *Current biology*. 2013;23(12):1132-1135. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.018

74.

Orgs G, Hagura N, Haggard P. Learning to like it: aesthetic perception of bodies, movements and choreographic structure. *Consciousness and cognition*. 2013;22(2):603-612. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2013.03.010

75.

Orgs G, Dombrowski JH, Heil M, Jansen-Osmann P. Expertise in dance modulates alpha-beta event-related desynchronization during action observation. *European journal of neuroscience*. 2008;27(12):3380-3384. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06271.x

76.

McCarty K, Hönekopp J, Neave N, Caplan N, Fink B. Male body movements as possible cues to physical strength: a biomechanical analysis. *American journal of human biology*. 2013;25(3):307-312. doi:10.1002/ajhb.22360

77.

Cross E. The impact of aesthetic evaluation and physical ability on dance perception. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 2011;5. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2011.00102

78.

Sian L, Beilock and Lauren E. Holt. Embodied preference judgments: can likeability be driven by the motor system? *Psychological science*. 2007;18(1):51-57. doi:10.2307/40064577

79.

Topolinski S. Moving the eye of the beholder: motor components in vision determine aesthetic preference. *Psychological science*. 2010;21(9):1220-1224. doi:10.1177/0956797610378308

80.

Saygin AP. Point-light biological motion perception activates human premotor cortex. *Journal of neuroscience*. 2004;24(27):6181-6188. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0504-04.2004

81.

Calvo-Merino B, Grèzes J, Glaser DE, Passingham RE, Haggard P. Seeing or doing? Influence of visual and motor familiarity in action observation. *Current biology*. 2006;16(19):1905-1910. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.065

82.

Rizzolatti G, Sinigaglia C. The functional role of the parieto-frontal mirror circuit: interpretations and misinterpretations. *Nature reviews neuroscience*. 2010;11(4):264-274. doi:10.1038/nrn2805

83.

Opacic T, Stevens C, Tillmann B. Unspoken knowledge: Implicit learning of structured human dance movement. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition*. 2009;35(6):1570-1577. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,shib&db=pdh&AN=2009-19590-017&site=ehost-live&scope=site&custid=s1123049>

84.

Reddish P, Fischer R, Bulbulia J. Let's dance together: synchrony, shared intentionality and cooperation. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071182

85.

Shankar MU, Levitan CA, Spence C. Grape expectations: The role of cognitive influences in color-flavor interactions. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 2010;19(1):380-390. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2009.08.008

86.

Auvray M, Spence C. The multisensory perception of flavor. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 2008;17(3):1016-1031. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2007.06.005

87.

Loeken et al. LS. Coding of pleasant touch. *Nature neuroscience*. 2009;12(5):547-548. <http://www.nature.com/neuro/journal/v12/n5/pdf/nn.2312.pdf>

88.

Ackerley R, Saar K, McGlone F, Backlund Wasling H. Quantifying the sensory and emotional perception of touch: differences between glabrous and hairy skin. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*. 2014;8. <http://journal.frontiersin.org/Journal/10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00034/full>

89.

Djordjevic J, Zatorre RJ, Jones-Gotman M. Odor-induced changes in taste perception. *Experimental brain research*. 2004;159(3):405-408. doi:10.1007/s00221-004-2103-y

90.

Rudenga K, Green B, Nachtigal D, Small DM. Evidence for an integrated oral sensory module in the human anterior ventral insula. *Chemical senses*. 2010;35(8):693-703. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjq068

91.

Birch L. Development of food preferences. *Annual review of nutrition*. 1999;19(1):41-62. <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41>

92.

Evans M, Jamal A, Foxall, G. R. Consumer Behaviour. 2nd ed. Wiley; 2009.

93.

Haugtvedt CP, Herr P, Kardes, Frank R. Handbook of Consumer Psychology. Vol Marketing and consumer psychology series. Lawrence Erlbaum; 2008.
<http://lib.myilibrary.com/browse/open.asp?id=137224&entityid=https://idp.brunel.ac.uk/entity>

94.

Carbon CC. The cycle of preference: Long-term dynamics of aesthetic appreciation. *Acta Psychologica*. 2010;134(2):233-244. doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2010.02.004

95.

Karremans JC, Stroebe W, Claus J. Beyond Vicary's fantasies: The impact of subliminal priming and brand choice. *Journal of experimental social psychology*. 2006;42(6):792-798. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2005.12.002

96.

Piech RM, Lewis J, Parkinson CH, et al. Neural correlates of affective influence on choice. *Brain and cognition*. 2010;72(2):282-288. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2009.09.012

97.

Hannon EE, Soley G, Ullal S. Familiarity overrides complexity in rhythm perception: A cross-cultural comparison of American and Turkish listeners. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance*. 2012;38(3):543-548.
<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail?vid=3&sid=a9d58374-6742-462f-8ce4-416425400521%40sessionmgr113&hid=120&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#db=pdh&AN=2012-04374-001>

98.

Chrea C. Semantic, typicality and odor representation: a cross-cultural study. *Chemical senses*. 2005;30(1):37-49. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjh255

99.

Royet JP, Plailly J, Saive AL, Veyrac A, Delon-Martin C. The impact of expertise in olfaction. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2013;4. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00928

100.

Kirsch LP, Drommelschmidt KA, Cross ES. The impact of sensorimotor experience on affective evaluation of dance. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*. 2013;7. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00521

101.

Delon-Martin C, Plailly J, Fonlupt P, Veyrac A, Royet JP. Perfumers' expertise induces structural reorganization in olfactory brain regions. *NeuroImage*. 2013;68:55-62. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.044

102.

Castriota-Scanderberg A. The appreciation of wine by sommeliers: a functional magnetic resonance study of sensory integration. *NeuroImage*. 2005;25(2):570-578. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811904007062>

103.

Calvo-Merino B. Action observation and acquired motor skills: an fMRI study with expert dancers. *Cerebral cortex*. 2004;15(8):1243-1249. <https://login.ezproxy.brunel.ac.uk/login?url=http://cercor.oxfordjournals.org/content/15/8/1243.full>

104.

T.F. M, E. A, L. J. The musician's brain as a model of neuroplasticity : Article : *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. doi:doi:10.1038/nrn843