

PY3608 - Psychology of Aesthetics

Was PY3206

View Online



1.

Shimamura, A. P. & Palmer, S. E. Aesthetic science: connecting minds, brains, and experience.

2.

Reber, R., Schwarz, N. & Winkielman, P. Processing fluency and aesthetic pleasure: is beauty in the perceiver's processing experience? *Personality and social psychology review* **8**, 364–382 (2004).

3.

Zajonc, R. B. Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *Journal of personality and social psychology* **9**, 1–27 (1968).

4.

Jacoby, L. L., Kelley, C., Brown, J. & Jasechko, J. Becoming famous overnight: Limits on the ability to avoid unconscious influences of the past. *Journal of personality and social psychology* **56**, 326–338 (1989).

5.

Semir Zeki. Art and the brain. *Daedalus* **127**, 71–103 (1998).

6.

Ramachandran, V.S., Hirstein, W. The science of art: a neurological theory of aesthetic

experience. *Journal of consciousness studies* **6**, 15–51 (1999).

7.

Leder, H., Belke, B., Oeberst, A. & Augustin, D. A model of aesthetic appreciation and aesthetic judgments. *British Journal of Psychology* **95**, 489–508 (2004).

8.

Cinzia, D. D. & Vittorio, G. Neuroaesthetics: a review. *Current opinion in neurobiology* **19**, 682–687 (2009).

9.

Jacobsen, T. The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes. in *The Fine Arts, Neurology, and Neuroscience - New Discoveries and Changing Landscapes* vol. 204 159–168 (Elsevier, 2013).

10.

Zeki, S. & Lamb, M. The neurology of kinetic art. *Brain* **117**, 607–636 (1994).

11.

Goldstein, E. B. *Sensation and perception*. (Wadsworth-Thomson Learning, 2002).

12.

Berlyne, D. E. *Studies in the new experimental aesthetics: steps toward an objective psychology of aesthetic appreciation*. (Hemisphere Publishing, 1974).

13.

Gombrich, E. H. *Art and illusion: a study in the psychology of pictorial representation*. (Phaidon, 2002).

14.

Rolf Reber, Piotr Winkielman and Norbert Schwarz. Effects of perceptual fluency on affective judgments. *Psychological science* **9**, 45–48 (1998).

15.

Bornstein, R. F. Exposure and affect: overview and meta-analysis of research, 1968-1987. *Psychological bulletin* **106**, 265–289 (1989).

16.

Winkielman, P., Halberstadt, J., Fazendeiro, T. & Catty, S. Prototypes are attractive because they are easy on the mind. *Psychological science* **17**, 799–806 (2006).

17.

Nadal, M., Capó, M. À., Rosselló, J., Munar, E. & Cela-Conde, C. J. Towards a framework for the study of the neural correlates of aesthetic preference. *Spatial vision* **21**, 379–396 (2008).

18.

Rudolf Arnheim. On order, simplicity and entropy. *Leonardo* **7**, 139–141 (1974).

19.

Jacobsen, T. & Hoefel, L. Descriptive and evaluative judgement processes: Behavioral and electrophysiological indices of processing symmetry and aesthetics. *Cognitive, affective, & behavioral neuroscience* **3**, (2003).

20.

Silvia, Paul J., Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC, US, p_silvia@uncg.edu. Emotional responses to art: From collation and arousal to cognition and emotion. *Review of general psychology*.

21.

R. Von Der Heydt, E. Peterhans and G. Baumgartner. Illusory Contours and Cortical Neuron Responses. *Science* **224**, 1260–1262 (1984).

22.

Colin Martindale, Kathleen Moore and Jonathan Borkum. Aesthetic Preference: Anomalous Findings for Berlyne's Psychobiological Theory. *The American Journal of Psychology* **103**, 53–80 (1990).

23.

doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2005.08.005 - ledercarbonripsas2006.pdf.

24.

AugustinLederHutzlerCarbon2008.pdf.

25.

Augustin, M. D., Defranceschi, B., Fuchs, H. K., Carbon, C.-C. & Hutzler, F. The neural time course of art perception: An ERP study on the processing of style versus content in art. *Neuropsychologia* **49**, 2071–2081 (2011).

26.

Berlyne, D. E. Novelty, complexity, and hedonic value. *Perception & Psychophysics* **8**, 279–286 (1970).

27.

Checkosky, S. F. & Whitlock, D. Effects of pattern goodness on recognition time in a memory search task. *Journal of experimental psychology* **100**, 341–348 (1973).

28.

Livingstone, M. S. Is it warm? Is it real? Or just low spatial frequency? *Science* **290**,.

29.

Kawabata, H. & Zeki, S. Neural correlates of beauty. *Journal of neurophysiology* **91**, 1699–1705 (2004).

30.

Neuroanatomical correlates of aesthetic preference for paintings. *Neuroreport* (2004).

31.

Zeki, S. & Stutters, J. A brain-derived metric for preferred kinetic stimuli. *Open biology* **2**, 120001–120001 (2012).

32.

Bar, M. & Neta, M. Humans prefer curved visual objects. *Psychological science* **17**, 645–648 (2006).

33.

Sammartino, J. & Palmer, S. E. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: Effects of vertical position and perspective on framing single objects. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance* **38**, 865–879 (2012).

34.

Palmer, S., Schloss, K. B. & Sammartino, J. Visual aesthetics and human preference. *Annual review of psychology* (2013).

35.

Hurlbert, A. C. & Ling, Y. Biological components of sex differences in color preference. *Current biology* **17**, R623–R625 (2007).

36.

Schloss, K. B., Poggesi, R. M. & Palmer, S. E. Effects of university affiliation and "school

spirit" on color preferences: Berkeley versus Stanford. *Psychonomic bulletin & review* **18**, 498–504 (2011).

37.

McManus, I. C. The aesthetics of simple figures. *British journal of psychology* **71**, 505–524 (1980).

38.

McManus, I. C. & Weatherby, P. The golden section and the aesthetics of form and composition. *Empirical studies of the arts* **15**, 209–232 (1997).

39.

Palmer, S., Gardner, J. & Wickens, T. Aesthetic issues in spatial composition: effects of position and direction on framing single objects. *Spatial vision* **21**, 421–449 (2008).

40.

Tucker, M. & Ellis, R. On the relations between seen objects and components of potential actions. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance* **24**, 830–846 (1998).

41.

McManus, I. C. Symmetry and asymmetry in aesthetics and the arts. *European review* 157–180 (2005) doi:10.1017/S1062798705000736.

42.

Jakesch, M., Leder, H. & Forster, M. Image ambiguity and fluency. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).

43.

Kirk, U. The neural basis of object-context relationships on aesthetic judgment. *PLoS ONE* **3**, (2008).

44.

McManus, I. C. et al. The psychometrics of photographic cropping: The influence of colour, meaning, and expertise. *Perception* (2011) doi:10.1068/p6700.

45.

Vartanian, O. et al. Impact of contour on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in architecture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 10446–10453 (2013).

46.

Zeki, S. & Ishizu, T. The "Visual Shock" of Francis Bacon: an essay in neuroesthetics. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **7**, (2013).

47.

Stefan Koelsch. *Brain and music*. (John Wiley & Sons, 2012).

48.

Koelsch, S. Toward a neural basis of music perception – a review and updated model. *Frontier in psychology* **2**, (2011).

49.

Kornysheva, K., von Cramon, D. Y., Jacobsen, T. & Schubotz, R. I. Tuning-in to the beat: aesthetic appreciation of musical rhythms correlates with a premotor activity boost. *Human brain mapping* **NA-NA** (2009) doi:10.1002/hbm.20844.

50.

Stevens, C. J. Music perception and cognition: a review of recent cross-cultural research. *Topics in cognitive science* **4**, 653–667 (2012).

51.

Unjung Nam. Pitch distributions in Korean court music: evidence consistent with tonal hierarchies. *Music perception: an interdisciplinary journal* **16**, 243–247.

52.

Krumhansl, C. L. & Shepard, R. N. Quantification of the hierarchy of tonal functions within a diatonic context. *Journal of experimental psychology: human perception and performance* **5**, 579–594 (1979).

53.

Wacongne, C. et al. Evidence for a hierarchy of predictions and prediction errors in human cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 20754–20759 (2011).

54.

Besson, M. & Faïta, F. An event-related potential (ERP) study of musical expectancy: Comparison of musicians with nonmusicians. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance* **21**, 1278–1296 (1995).

55.

Kuhn, G. & Dienes, Z. Implicit learning of nonlocal musical rules: Implicitly learning more than chunks. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition* **31**, 1417–1432 (2005).

56.

Orgs, G., Lange, K., Dombrowski, J.-H. & Heil, M. Conceptual priming for environmental sounds and words: an ERP study. *Brain and cognition* **62**, 267–272 (2006).

57.

Nozaradan, S., Peretz, I. & Mouraux, A. Selective Neuronal Entrainment to the Beat and Meter Embedded in a Musical Rhythm. *Journal of Neuroscience* **32**, 17572–17581 (2012).

58.

Calvo-Merino, B., Urgesi, C., Orgs, G., Aglioti, S. M. & Haggard, P. Extrastriate body area underlies aesthetic evaluation of body stimuli. *Experimental brain research* **204**, 447–456 (2010).

59.

Rhodes, G. The evolutionary psychology of facial beauty. *Annual review of psychology* **57**, 199–266 (2006).

60.

Peelen, Marius V.¹Downing, Paul E.¹ p.downing@bangor.ac.uk. The neural basis of visual body perception. *Nature reviews neuroscience* **8**, 636–648.

61.

Minnebusch, D. A. & Daum, I. Neuropsychological mechanisms of visual face and body perception. *Neuroscience & biobehavioral reviews* **33**, 1133–1144 (2009).

62.

Di Dio, C., Macaluso, E. & Rizzolatti, G. The golden beauty: brain response to classical and renaissance sculptures. *PLoS ONE* **2**, (2007).

63.

Lambrou, C., Veale, D. & Wilson, G. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology* **120**, 443–453 (2011).

64.

Mele, S., Cazzato, V. & Urgesi, C. The importance of perceptual experience in the esthetic appreciation of the body. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).

65.

Lambrou, C., Veale, D. & Wilson, G. The role of aesthetic sensitivity in body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology* **120**, 443–453 (2011).

66.

Winkler, C. & Rhodes, G. Perceptual adaptation affects attractiveness of female bodies. *British journal of psychology* **96**, 141–154 (2005).

67.

Aviezer, H., Trope, Y. & Todorov, A. Body cues, not facial expressions, discriminate between intense positive and negative emotions. *Science* **338**, 1225–1229 (2012).

68.

de Gelder, B. Towards the neurobiology of emotional body language. *Nature reviews neuroscience* **7**, 242–249 (2006).

69.

Urgesi, C., Calvo-Merino, B., Haggard, P. & Aglioti, S. M. Transcranial magnetic stimulation reveals two cortical pathways for visual body processing. *Journal of neuroscience* **27**, 8023–8030 (2007).

70.

Daprati, E., Iosa, M. & Haggard, P. A dance to the music of time: aesthetically-relevant changes in body posture in performing art. *PLoS ONE* **4**, (2009).

71.

Scott, I. M. et al. Human preferences for sexually dimorphic faces may be evolutionarily novel. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2014)
doi:10.1073/pnas.1409643111.

72.

Calvo-Merino, B., Jola, C., Glaser, D. E. & Haggard, P. Towards a sensorimotor aesthetics of

performing art. Consciousness and cognition **17**, 911–922 (2008).

73.

Dalziell, A. H. et al. Dance choreography is coordinated with song repertoire in a complex avian display. Current biology **23**, 1132–1135 (2013).

74.

Orgs, G., Hagura, N. & Haggard, P. Learning to like it: aesthetic perception of bodies, movements and choreographic structure. Consciousness and cognition **22**, 603–612 (2013).

75.

Orgs, G., Dombrowski, J.-H., Heil, M. & Jansen-Osmann, P. Expertise in dance modulates alphabeta event-related desynchronization during action observation. European journal of neuroscience **27**, 3380–3384 (2008).

76.

McCarty, K., Hönekopp, J., Neave, N., Caplan, N. & Fink, B. Male body movements as possible cues to physical strength: a biomechanical analysis. American journal of human biology **25**, 307–312 (2013).

77.

Cross, E. The impact of aesthetic evaluation and physical ability on dance perception. Frontiers in human neuroscience **5**, (2011).

78.

Sian L. Beilock and Lauren E. Holt. Embodied preference judgments: can likeability be driven by the motor system? Psychological science **18**, 51–57 (2007).

79.

Topolinski, S. Moving the eye of the beholder: motor components in vision determine

aesthetic preference. *Psychological science* **21**, 1220–1224 (2010).

80.

Saygin, A. P. Point-light biological motion perception activates human premotor cortex. *Journal of neuroscience* **24**, 6181–6188 (2004).

81.

Calvo-Merino, B., Grèzes, J., Glaser, D. E., Passingham, R. E. & Haggard, P. Seeing or doing? Influence of visual and motor familiarity in action observation. *Current biology* **16**, 1905–1910 (2006).

82.

Rizzolatti, G. & Sinigaglia, C. The functional role of the parieto-frontal mirror circuit: interpretations and misinterpretations. *Nature reviews neuroscience* **11**, 264–274 (2010).

83.

Opacic, T., Stevens, C. & Tillmann, B. Unspoken knowledge: Implicit learning of structured human dance movement. *Journal of experimental psychology: Learning, memory, and cognition* **35**, 1570–1577 (2009).

84.

Reddish, P., Fischer, R. & Bulbulia, J. Let's dance together: synchrony, shared intentionality and cooperation. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).

85.

Shankar, M. U., Levitan, C. A. & Spence, C. Grape expectations: The role of cognitive influences in color-flavor interactions. *Consciousness and Cognition* **19**, 380–390 (2010).

86.

Auvray, M. & Spence, C. The multisensory perception of flavor. *Consciousness and Cognition* **17**, 1016–1031 (2008).

87.

Loeken et al., L. S. Coding of pleasant touch. *Nature neuroscience* **12**, 547–548 (2009).

88.

Ackerley, R., Saar, K., McGlone, F. & Backlund Wasling, H. Quantifying the sensory and emotional perception of touch: differences between glabrous and hairy skin. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience* **8**, (2014).

89.

Djordjevic, J., Zatorre, R. J. & Jones-Gotman, M. Odor-induced changes in taste perception. *Experimental brain research* **159**, 405–408 (2004).

90.

Rudenga, K., Green, B., Nachtigal, D. & Small, D. M. Evidence for an integrated oral sensory module in the human anterior ventral insula. *Chemical senses* **35**, 693–703 (2010).

91.

Birch, L. Development of food preferences. *Annual review of nutrition* **19**, 41–62 (1999).

92.

Evans, M., Jamal, A., & Foxall, G. R. *Consumer behaviour*. (Wiley, 2009).

93.

Hagtvedt, C. P., Herr, P., & Kardes, Frank R. *Handbook of consumer psychology*. vol. Marketing and consumer psychology series (Lawrence Erlbaum, 2008).

94.

Carbon, C.-C. The cycle of preference: Long-term dynamics of aesthetic appreciation. *Acta Psychologica* **134**, 233–244 (2010).

95.

Karremans, J. C., Stroebe, W. & Claus, J. Beyond Vicary's fantasies: The impact of subliminal priming and brand choice. *Journal of experimental social psychology* **42**, 792–798 (2006).

96.

Piech, R. M. et al. Neural correlates of affective influence on choice. *Brain and cognition* **72**, 282–288 (2010).

97.

Hannon, E. E., Soley, G. & Ullal, S. Familiarity overrides complexity in rhythm perception: A cross-cultural comparison of American and Turkish listeners. *Journal of experimental psychology: Human perception and performance* **38**, 543–548 (2012).

98.

Chrea, C. Semantic, typicality and odor representation: a cross-cultural study. *Chemical senses* **30**, 37–49 (2005).

99.

Royet, J.-P., Plailly, J., Saive, A.-L., Veyrac, A. & Delon-Martin, C. The impact of expertise in olfaction. *Frontiers in psychology* **4**, (2013).

100.

Kirsch, L. P., Drommelschmidt, K. A. & Cross, E. S. The impact of sensorimotor experience on affective evaluation of dance. *Frontiers in human neuroscience* **7**, (2013).

101.

Delon-Martin, C., Plailly, J., Fonlupt, P., Veyrac, A. & Royet, J.-P. Perfumers' expertise induces structural reorganization in olfactory brain regions. *NeuroImage* **68**, 55–62 (2013).

102.

Castriota-Scanderberg, A. The appreciation of wine by sommeliers: a functional magnetic resonance study of sensory integration. *NeuroImage* **25**, 570–578 (2005).

103.

Calvo-Merino, B. Action observation and acquired motor skills: an fMRI study with expert dancers. *Cerebral cortex* **15**, 1243–1249 (2004).

104.

T.F., M., E., A. & L., J. The musician's brain as a model of neuroplasticity : Article : Nature Reviews Neuroscience. <http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v3/n6/full/nrn843.html>
doi:doi:10.1038/nrn843.